



### Illustrated handbook for Indian cities











Published by Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA) Town and Country Planning Organisation (TCPO) CEPT University Advisors D.S. Mishra, IAS, Secretary, MoHUA D. Thara, IAS Joint Secretary, MoHUA Bimal Patel, President CEPT University C.K.Koshy, IAS (Retd.)

Conceived and developed by Rutul Joshi (team lead) Ananya Ramesh Anshula Menon Prasanth Narayanan Peer reviewers Vidyadhar Phatak, CEPT Champaka Rajagopal, APU R. Srinivas, TCPO Jignesh Mehta, CEPT Vivek Chandran, SSEF

Graphics and Visualization Juan Tusso, Kruti Shah, Anuja Singh, Shashank Trivedi

#### Project team

Kavina Patel, Shaival Gajjar, Rachita Chauhan, Lisette Kompagne, Neesha Parikh, Bhavana Vaddadi, Hershvardhan Bhasin, Nancy Dixit, Pooja Sanjayan, Ishita Kapoor, Gauri Varshney, Shikha Merchant, Nehal Sethi, Aman Dodhiya, Shravani.T, Chetan Sodaye, Enakshee Bhatia, Shreesha Arondekar, Srirang Sohani, Firaq Pleza

In consultation with

Mriganka Saxena, Shreya Gadeapalli, Paromita Roy, Adarsha Kappor, Zohra Mutabana, Sonal Shah, Nilesh Rajyadhayksha, Rejeet Mathews, Aswathy Dilip, Ranjit Gadgil, Pranjali Deshpande, Umesh Shurpali, Narendra Mangwani, Harpal Dave, Avni Mehta, Vijay Anadkat

Funded by

Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation (SSEF) - Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation works to strengthen the energy security of India by aiding the design and implementation of policies that support renewable energy, energy efficiency and sustainable transport solutions.



### Illustrated handbook for Indian cities









### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This illustrated handbook has referred to the following works and adapted the relevant material to develop its contents -

- National TOD policy (2017) and TOD Guidance Document for India (2016) by the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs, Government of India.
- Local Area Planning methodology developed jointly by HCP-DPM and AUDA (Ahmedabad Urban Development Authority) for Ahmedabad, Gujarat.
- The urban design framework, Guidelines for Railway Station Development (2019) by Indian Railway Station Development Corporation (IRSDC).
- Institute of Transportation and Development Policy. 2017. "TOD Standard. 3rd ed." New York and 'People near Transit' by ITDP India.
- World Bank Publications:
  - Salat, Serge, and Gerald Ollivier. 2017. Transforming Urban Space through Transit Oriented Development The 3V Approach. Washington DC: World Bank Group.
  - Suzuki, Hiroaki, Robert Cervero, and Kanako luchi. 2013. Transforming Cities with Transit Transit and Land Use Integration for Sustainable Urban Development. Washington DC: The World Bank Group.
  - Global Platform for Sustainable Cities, World Bank. 2018. "TOD Implementation Resources & Tools." 1st ed. Washington, DC: World Bank.

The views/analysis expressed in this report/document do not necessarily reflect the views of Shakti Sustainable Energy Foundation. The Foundation also does not guarantee the accuracy of any data included in this publication nor does it accept any responsibility for the consequences of its use.

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AH- Affordable Housing                            |
|---|
| <b>APU</b> - Azim Premji University               |
| <b>BU</b> - Building Use                          |
| DCR - Development Control Regulation              |
| <b>DP</b> - Development Plan                      |
| <b>EWS</b> - Economically Weaker Section          |
| FAR- Floor Area Ratio                             |
| FSI- Floor Space Index                            |
| GHG- Green House Gas                              |
| HIG- High Income Group                            |
| LAP- Local Area Plan                              |
| LBF- Land Based Financing                         |
| LIG- Low Income Group                             |
| LU- Land Use                                      |
| LVC- Land Value Capture                           |
| MIG- Middle Income Group                          |
| MOHUA - Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs     |
| <b>NMT-</b> Non-motorised transportation          |
| <b>NMV</b> - Non Motorized Vehicle                |
| <b>POPOS</b> - Privately Owned Public Open Spaces |
| <b>PT</b> - Public transport                      |

- ROW- Right of Way
- **SA** Social Amenities
- SWM- Solid Waste Management
- **TDM** Travel Demand Management
- TOA- Transit Oriented Area
- TOB- Transit Oriented Building
- TOC Transit Oriented City
- TOD- Transit Oriented Development
- TOS- Transit Oriented Street
- TOZ- Transit Oriented Zone
- **TPS** Town Planning Scheme
- **ULB** Urban Local Body
- VKT Vehicle Kilometers Traveled



(Local Area Plan) **for transit** 

Illustrated handbook for Indian cities

### CONTENTS

### Acknowledgment

### List of abbreviations

### Contents

| SECTION 1 _Introduction                  | .10 - 25  |
|--|-----------|
| SECTION 2 _Principles of LAP for Transit | 26 - 59   |
| SECTION 3 _Plan your city                | .60 - 85  |
| SECTION 4 _Plan your area                | .86 - 183 |
| SECTION 5 _Governance and finance        | 184 - 191 |
| Annexure                                 | 192 - 197 |

# INTRODUCTION

## CHALLENGES OF URBANIZATION IN INDIA

- Population growth rates for urban India show that by 2050 about 814 million people will live in urban places. Urban local governments are confronted with daunting obligatory responsibilities of catering to public purpose needs of the inhabitants of cities. These include public provisioning of basic infrastructure, transport, ease of mobility, affordable housing, among other fundamental needs.
- Paucity of resources including land and finances as prerogatives, place serious challenges to urban planning for Indian cities.
- Cities such as Mumbai and Delhi have public transit commuter populations, as large as 5 million (or more) everyday. Bengtaluru, Hyderabad and Chennai, host at least 1 million commuters on a daily basis, across different modes of public transport. Projections indicate that with greater influx of people to urban centres, commuters population and hence the demand for public transit is expected to increase two fold within a short span of less than ten years. Individualised private transport is unsustainable in such a context. In this scenario, the importance of augmenting public transit services in cities in India is



not only urgent, but also inevitable.

- However, public transit systems in Indian cities have been witnessing decreasing ridership and reduced fleet sizes in case of existing systems like municipal bus services; while newer systems like metro are seeing a short-fall in ridership (in some cases nearly -1000%) than what was projected.
- The number of registered motor vehicles in India has increased 700 times, from 0.3 million in 1951 to 210 million in 2015. Out of this, 32% are concentrated in metropolitan cities. Studies report that congestion in just four cities can cost up to 22 billion dollars a year
- Air pollution is a rising threat to Indian cities. In 2013, nearly 6,73,000 deaths were caused due to ambient air pollution, as per reports by Global Burden of Diseases. The rising motorization, sprawling urban development and mono-functional land uses have increased the VKT in Indian cities and inadvertently

are contributing to pollution. The rising motorization, sprawling urban development and mono-functional land uses have increased the VKT in Indian cities and inadvertently are contributing to pollution.

- Road safety is a rising concern in urbanizing India with increased rates of motorization. Statistics reveal that the number of road related accidents per one lakh population has decreased marginally from 40 to 36, in the years from 2015 to 2017 yet, the number of fatalities per 100 accidents have increased from 31.4 in 2016 to 31.8 in 2018. Out of those killed, 13% are pedestrians, highlighting the increase in speeds and car-friendly street design.
- Presently, India faces a shortage of 18 million houses, out of which 95% lies in low income group. Unpacking the nature of shortage, more than 80% of the population live in inadequate conditions of housing. Despite the severe shortage there was a 73% increase between 2001 and 2011 in the number of vacant houses in urban India.



- Traditionally, urban planning legislation in India, separates public transport planning from spatial planning. This implies that place of residence of inhabitants is poorly connected with place of work. This 'work-home-place' dis juncture engenders several other public problems, such as increased commuting distances and hours, increased commuting costs for the masses, increased air pollution, congestion, health hazards, to name a few. Considering that on an average, 50% of the trips undertaken in the city are through motorised transport, and approximately 95% of urban population is from the low-income group, aligning the 'work-home-place' relationship is vital.
- Policies and regulations for addressing these public problems are often developed and administered in silos. Sectoral silos are in several States, accompanied by lack of pedagogical guidelines for effective implementation of LAPs, thereby, exacerbating the 'work-home-place' dis juncture. A strategic approach and method to urban planning that cuts across sectors and scales of development is the need of the day.
- In the face of these challenges, the current discourse on urban planning practice in India is paving the way for cities to embrace strategic planning approaches hinged on addressing a range of public problems. This handbook on LAP around transit shows some ways in which India's cities could explore reform in its conventional planning methods, so as to build liveable places and boost orderly and planned developments around public transit.



### IN CONTEXT OF GLOBAL GOALS





ACCESSIBLE

This handbook draws its foundations from two sources. of law and policy. One, the national TOD policy (MoHUA) and the National Urban Transport Policy (NUTP); and two, provisions in the 12th Schedule of the constitution for urban planning and service delivery, as obligatory functions of urban local bodies. To build on these prescriptions, the handbook relies on efforts undertaken by the Ahmedabad Urban Development AuthorIty (AUDA) to institutionalise and mainstream local area planning. Further, this handbook builds on existing academic international literature and guidance documents on development around transit systems. The handbook provides demonstrative examples to contextualize and implement normative constructs of TOD through the local area planning (LAP) process (and vice versa), for the Indian City.

The concept of LAP around transit is in alignment with three of the SDG's, i.e., 9- industry, innovation and infrastructure, 11- Sustainable cities and communities and 13- Climate action. The handbook helps in achieving these goals through integration of socioeconomic development, infrastructure, land use and transport, in Indian cities.

The language of the handbook is simple and universal, making the technical concepts of LAP around transit more accessible to stakeholders of all types.

# LAP & TOD IN INDIA



### LAP FOR TRANSIT

### **DEFINITION OF LAP**

LAP is a planning instrument to integrate city-level mobility and infrastructure development envisioned in a development plan, with neighbourhood level accessibility and area-level improvement either through natural process of urban renewal or through planned redevelopment.



### **DEFINITION OF TOD**

To create a dense, diverse and accessible urban fabric to enhance, encourage and induce commuter populations to use public transit as their preferred mode of getting around and also support non-motorized transit.

### UNDERSTANDING TOD

#### What does TOD aim?

- The primary aim of TOD is to make cities more liveable by connecting place of work to place of residence of inhabitants, by planning accessible, dense and diverse urban places around transit, supported by walkable and cycle friendly neighbourhoods and well-designed public realm. This will go a long way in encouraging, inducing and enhancing an increase in public transit ridership. It makes it possible to live a stress-free life without complete dependence on car for fulfilling mobility, basic services and everyday needs. This would in turn improve livability and quality of life.
- TOD also aims to increase public transit ridership. As ridership increases public transit systems become more viable and self-sustaining. Public transit ridership goes up when people can easily access jobs, education, health care, etc, by using the transit. By doing so, TOD also builds a symbiotic relation between, transit and development.

#### Where did TOD come from?

• The idea of complimentary land development around transport corridors is not new in the urban planning history. Organically evolved Indian cities were dense with diverse activities along the main transport corridors. With the emergence of high-capacity public transit systems, the cities need to be retrofitted in terms of well-designed public spaces, streets and

built-form around transit nodes or corridors. The future cities need to be built around extensive transit use.

#### Why is TOD crucial to Indian cities?

- Concentrating spatial and economic development in proximity to transit through TOD will help optimise public capital and recurring expenditure on infrastructure development and augmentation. In parallel it will also help achieve social and environmental benefits on expensive transit infrastructure projects.
- To achieving compact city development, TOD is an opportunity to guide low carbon development around and towards transit, re-design public realm and increase public transit ridership. This will enable India to align with international climate change adaptation targets, to contain global warming to the 1.5 degree Celsius.
- Adopting TOD promises direct reduction in Green House Gas emissions. With high population growth rates in Indian cities, planning for TOD is crucial to integrate city-level development plans with city-level mobility and infrastructure plans and investments.

### UNDERSTANDING LAP

#### What does LAP aim?

- Local Area Plans or LAPs form part of guidelines for urban and regional plan formulation and implementation guidelines, as the smallest scale at which urban planning processes can be undertaken. LAP around transit aims at promoting planned natural urban renewal, systematic redevelopment where relevant, accessible street networks, improved streets and public realm design, street-oriented buildings and upgrading of municipal infrastructure.
- As a response to paucity of land for public purpose, LAP process conceived here, aims to create a winwin situation for all stakeholders. To do so, the LAP process aids urban local bodies to obtain land for public purpose in lieu of regulatory incentives provided to the private landowner. This helps the urban local body meet its obligatory functions to create better street network, public open spaces and liveable places. LAPs are conceived here, as market responsive mechanisms which strengthens the public realm while promoting redevelopment on private lands. To achieve this, LAP incorporates special 'development promotion' (not control) regulations that aim to attract investments, jobs, markets and people in an area around transit. In terms of scale, complexity and implementation, the LAP bridges the current gap between proposals for future land use zoning and consumption of built space in the city level regulatory Development Plan vis a vis specific local requirement.
- The idea of LAP around transit is to deliver transit conducive, transit oriented (re) development rather

than just piling up floor space next to transit and creating 'transit adjacent development'.

#### Where did LAP come from?

- LAPs are not a new mechanism for Indian cities. Various state level planning legislations have provisions for making localized plans as part of the larger planning framework.
- The city of Ahmedabad initiated a number of local area plans to implement the vision articulated in the development plan of the city since 2014. LAPs are statutory planning mechanism in the state of Gujarat, after the 2014 and 2017 amendments in the GTPUD Act of 1976.
- Today, many States have initiated plans and projects which integrates land use provisions and public transit investments.
- With the leadership of MoHUA, 25 cities have initiated the preparations of making LAPs.

#### Why is LAP crucial to Indian cities?

- LAP is crucial for Indian cities as it provides a regulatory foundation, either for planned natural urban renewal or planned redevelopment, in existing urban areas.
- LAP approach promotes a bottom-up, participatory planning process by engaging with the local population and capturing their requirements of accessibility and physical and social infrastructure.

### UNDERSTANDING LAP

#### Why is LAP Crucial to Indian cities (contd.)

- The LAP serves as a platform for people at the local levels to identify a wish list of projects to improve the built environment
- Mixed land use development with higher floor space utilization supported by enhanced and improved public realm will go a long way in increasing the use of transit systems.
- The LAP mechanism provides impetus for long standing amendments to State level planning legislations to allow renewal and/ or planned redevelopment in existing urban areas.
- LAP around transit enables higher use of public transit system, which will go a long way in addressing traffic congestion, pollution levels, road safety and accrue long term environmental benefits.
- The LAP around transit is an opportunity for the transit and planning authorities to come together and work in coordinated manner with long term goals.
- Multi-scalar planning mechanism if city-level DP, TPS and LAP framework as an integrated, dynamic and cyclic process will better inform the preparation of the DP.
- LAPs linked to municipal budgets helps establish institutional and financial integration in addition to a clear link to the DP.
- Indian cities today need to steer a shift towards a strategic planning approach. To do so, they need to rethink current urban planning practice and usher reforms to accommodate mechanisms such as LAP around transit, that address inter-related public problems confronting them.

### LAP IN A NUT SHELL

#### A Local Area Plan includes:

- A comprehensive understanding of the existing conditions and its spatial representation;
- Policy and Spatial design solutions that address the needs of the community in terms of Amenities,
   Services, Utilities and Transportation;
- Incorporation of the needs of all section of actual population of each local area; Impacts of new amenities on travel demand and environment
- A market assessment of what is feasible in terms of 

   Redevelopment;
- Whether the community-desired improvements
   could be achieved by the real estate market or public
   investment;
- An implementation strategy including prioritization of projects where funds must be invested to execute the • plan in a timely manner.

#### Objectives of the LAP should be

- Preserving and Upgrading the Environment (greenery, water bodies, wetlands and parks)
- Preservation of Heritage
- Upgrading of Social Infrastructure (schools, neighborhood parks, sports facilities, dispensaries,
   community centers, cultural centers, public open space, etc.)
- Earmarking for livelihood generating areas for informal sector vending zones, weekly markets, etc
- Safety and Accessibility for all ages, genders and differently-abled people to public spaces, amenities and public transportation.
- Redevelopment of degraded areas as well as of

congested parts of the city.

- Road Space and parking facilities for different modes of transport including cyclists, rickshaws/ other NMVs/ pedestrians, etc.
- Civic Infrastructure up-gradation and removal of dis functionalities.
- Transit Oriented Development i.e. densification at public transport hubs to maximize the number of people having easy access to public transportation.
- Disaster Mitigation and Management Practices

#### Components of the LAP around Transit

- Streets: Equitable Distribution of Road Space and Universal Accessibility to all public spaces.
- Hubs: Multi-modal Interchange and Feeder Systems.
- Access: Accessibility norms for Social Infrastructure and Open Space.
- Parking norms and strategies for Travel Demand Management.
- Safety: Strategies for increasing Safety for Women e.g. lighting, accessibility, eyes on the street through retrofitting, hawkers, introduction of NMT/ other feeder modes, introduction of mixed use, etc.
- Affordability: Accommodation of more affordable housing wherever lacking, or local employment uses wherever lacking, to reduce travel demand and bring in equity.
- Services: Decentralized Infrastructure provision to enable recycling of Water and local reuse, to augment available water supply and facilitate local ground water recharge. Decentralized local Solid Waste Management Systems to reduce landfill and facilitate door to door collection, recycling & reuse, etc.

# LINK BETWEEN LAP AND TOD

LAP is a flexible planning instrument whereas TOD represent planning and design ideas. Linking LAP with TOD is a strategic response to the problem of 'work-home-place' dis-juncture. This integrated response encompasses several dimensions. These are defined as under

### TOD

#### (Public) TRANSIT

- Any form of shared or collective mobility can be called public, which could be either state owned or privatelyowned.
- It comprises a complete network facilitating movement of people and goods in the city.
- Access to the network is as important as coverage of the network.
- Accessibility should be the final goal of transportation planning in the city and not increasing mobility per say in other words public transit planning ensures higher accessibility.

#### ORIENTED

- At the macro level, location of jobs and people's place of residence are aligned with public transit networks.
- At both macro and micro levels, transport infrastructure networks, including road, rail, water ways and others, converge at several locations in the city.
- At the micro level, all streets typically lead to the transit station, especially for pedestrians, cyclists and para-transit users. Neighbourhoods promote pedestrian access, thorough fares and mix land use.
- At the private realm, design of building frontage should promote transit use by being oriented to the streets and public open spaces.

#### DEVELOPMENT

- Involves regulation of design of the private realm (buildings, residences, commercial, institutional) in ways that strengthen the use of public realm (footpaths, roads, streets, parks, open spaces).
- Necessitates design of reciprocal institutional and financial arrangements wherein public or private investments in the public realm helps public institutions achieve public purpose targets while also earning revenue returns from private developments (and vice versa).

#### ADVANTAGES FOR LINKING LAP AND TOD

- More land under public realm and streets
- Low crowding of vehicles but well-managed, high crowding of people
- Well-designed streets

- Street-oriented buildings (not inward looking), no compound walls
- Continuous up-gradation of infrastructure
- Treating parking as real estate

### LAP

#### PLAN FOR TRANSIT ACCESS

- Implies planning at macro and micro levels, for connectivity and access.
- At multiple scales of intervention, reflects as proposals for completion of road and transit networks aligned with place of stay and work, re-configuration of smaller urban blocks that provide greater sense of direction to the pedestrian and vehicle user, enables traffic calming and aids the development of fine grained pedestrian access towards transit. Means, linking transit with the adjoining land use and buildings at multiple levels.
- Needs readjustment of design of all roads, to lead to transit station.
- Requires concerted efforts to develop network of pedestrian access across the TOZ.

#### REGULATE AND INCENTIVIZE THE PRIVATE REALM

- Regulations and urban design guidelines encourage active frontages and discourage opaque property line demarcations/boundary demarcations.
- Regulations and policy instruments enable public institutions to get land for public access from private landowners while promoting urban renewal or redevelopment.
- Implies building line and frontage to contribute to the public domain.
- Allow mix land use based on the width of the roads and plot sizes.

#### INVESTMENTS IN PUBLIC REALM

- Requires revisiting configuration of street right of way and prioritizing investments in developing the full road section including pedestrian access, bike lanes, utilities, landscape, public toilets, hawking zone, on-street parking, etc.
- Needs a people-oriented lens to develop gardens, parks, plaza, also to dispersion and congregation area near transit stations.





# HOW WILL THIS HELP YOU?



### **Political Leaders**

As key decision makers of planning and governance of the country, political leaders are crucial to making our cities livable, commuter-friendly, sustainable and inclusive. To this end, the handbook serves as a blueprint for enabling such development of local areas around transit.

Local areas around transit need to cater to multiple types of inhabitants, including residents, commuters, pedestrians, private entrepreneurs, informal vendors, firms, transit agencies and several others. Political leaders have a key role to play in bringing all the different stakeholders together in order to enable locally relevant forms of development around transit. This handbook provides guidance on how to achieve these goals through a systematic planning process.



### Citizens

Residents and beneficiaries of transit systems play a fundamental role in ensuring LAPs around transit. At the city level, they play a crucial role in promoting sustainable mobility through the use of public transit. At the local levels, their role is vital in facilitating inclusive development by supporting mixed land use zoning, participating in the design and maintenance of walkable neighborhoods and precincts, and ensuring design of street-oriented buildings, with augmented infrastructure.

The handbook informs citizens of possible urban design interventions in their localities. In doing so, it equips them with the necessary information to participate in local level planning processes and empowers them to assume positions of stewardship for design, development, and maintenance of places they inhabit.



### **Private Developers**

The handbook presents and demonstrates a way to develop land near transit by utilizing the various incentives provided in development regulations pertaining to TOZ. This will promote the idea of inner-city redevelopment in a systematic way. It shows how this approach will make the inner-city area near transit are more attractive for people, jobs, activities to thrive, while preventing urban sprawl and optimizing costs for all. The LAP for transit fosters the idea of development 'promotion' regulations, rather than regulations that control and restrict development in core areas of the city.

Developers play a crucial role in redeveloping inner city areas around transit while innovating on urban design through form-based codes.





### **Banking Institutions**

LAP around transit augments existing investments in transit infrastructure since it enhances social benefits, improves quality of life and makes our cities inclusive and accessible. The handbook presents and demonstrates such opportunities and complimenting institutional frameworks.

The handbook presents cases of market responsive and marketable redevelopment projects through new planning mechanisms. If these mechanisms are backed by financing mechanisms then it will go a long way in creating sustainable and live-able cities for all.

### **Government Officials**

The handbook provides the necessary technical information to government officials, so as to assist in two pivotal roles; first, decision-making along with political leaders and officials; second, coordinating with planners, formulating and implementing plans through a strategic planning approach, rather than a technocratic one.

Whether to cater to needs of housing development for the economically weaker section in proximity to transit, or to take advantage of the market momentum created by investments in transit infrastructure, it is most crucial for the planning authority to steer coordination the transit between agency, land (re)development agency, municipal authority, departments and/ or parastatals in charge of infrastructure development and maintenance, across various tiers of the government.



### **Planning Authority**

The handbook presents and demonstrates an Indian model of LAP around transit, which will assist in implementing integrated land use and transport planning, for Indian cities. The process demonstrated includes four key aspects: database creation, institutional arrangements and financial planning in addition to spatial-economic planning.

As technical and knowledge partners, the Planning Authority's role in enabling stakeholder participation in decision making is key. To this end, its ability to mediate between all stakeholders' to facilitate consensus is central to the process.

The city planners here assume a significant responsibility to drive the process of changing planning legislation in their respective states, so as to accommodate the process of "Local Area Planning".

# PRINCIPLES LAP FOR TRANSIT



Physical access means being able to access the station and transit zone through the means of better walking and cycling infrastructure for all age groups and speciallyabled.

Socio-economic access means the provision of a range of social infrastructure (schools, hospitals, parks, etc.) and amenities, which are accessible by people across diverse socio-economic groups.

### **WHY PROVIDE ACCESS?**

Incomplete streets





The fundamental aim of accessibility is to connect people and transit. Considering demographic diversity, varied travel behaviour and distinct development patterns in Indian cities, accessibility is the key to making LAPs inclusive.

Integrating transit oriented local development with socioeconomic accessibility also facilitates affordability and enhances transit ridership.

## HOW TO PLAN FOR ACCESS?

Distance from metro station



- Ensure multi-modal integration through fare integration, seamless transfer at stations and timetable synchronization.
- Create agile institutional arrangements for transit agencies to coordinate with ULB and planning authority to create a city-wide 'Universal Accessibility Plan'.
- Improving first and last mile connectivity through well-connected street network, better walking and cycling infrastructure and provision of feeder systems (PT and para-transit), will make transit infrastructure physically accessible.
- Create diverse social infrastructure and amenities accessible to various socio-economic groups.



- Plan for access to each LAP area from the transit node for better connectivity to institutions, open spaces, important local nodes perhaps through public transport (feeder system & para-transit) within 1.5 km radius and walking and NMT infrastructure, within 500 m radius.
- Ensure all physical street infrastructure is accessible to all age groups as well as specially-abled users through universal design.

# 2 WHAT CONSTITUTES PUBLIC REAL DESIGN?



Good public realm design, takes into account, mobility needs of all users and influences the experience of a place. Public realm should always be viewed in context of its built form, uses, street network and larger city context.

### WHY IS PUBLIC REALM DESIGN CRUCIAL?



Every transit commuter is a pedestrian before boarding and after alighting. A pedestrian on a typical Indian street is constantly negotiating between undefined street edges, introverted private realm, poor quality NMT infrastructure and haphazard parking. So, designing the public realm and regulating the private realm would help pedestrian access transit, thereby, increasing transit ridership.

### HOW TO ENSURE GOOD PUBLIC REALM DESIGN?



- Design a network of arterial streets in sync with the transit networks.
- Develop network of integrated public realm design and not a few corridors.
- Design for multi-modal integration.
- Create a responsive interface between the private and public realm using a form-based approach.
- Enable ease of access for pedestrian movement through design of a complete street network leading towards the transit node.
- Design streets for people and not roads for vehicles (NTOD, 2014)
- Accommodate all road users in street design



- Design complete streets making it safe and shaded for pedestrians, equitably shared and universally accessible (specially-abled, all age groups) by all users (pedestrian, cyclist, automobile, parking, vending)
- Pedestrian access is to be at the plot side facing the main access, car access from side-lanes or back.
- Introduce form based codes
- Built to line buildings (uniform front margins)
- No compound walls

# 3 WHAT IS DENSITY-INTENSITY?



#### PEOPLE

Density is a measure of human intensity within a given area. There could also be floor space density or built units density. Density determines intensity of land utilization. Public transit system should bring more people (commuters) near transit. This will increase the ridership of the transit systems and lessen the traffic congestion in the city. The tools available with planners to bring more 'people near transit' (ITDP,2019) is increasing the supply of floor space in the transit influence zone. However, supply of floor space would not automatically bring more people near transit or increase density. So, density and intensity of floor space needs to be understood as a combined function of floor area supply and per capita floor space consumption.

For local area plans near transit, it could be planned based on three distinct approaches based on the principle of density-intensity – transformation, intensification and in-fill.
# WHY DENSITY-INTENSITY?



More people near transit would mean higher transit ridership and less traffic congestion in the city. More floor space near transit may not bring more people near transit, as tall buildings does not always mean high density – for example, commercial-only areas or high-end residential areas would decrease the number of people in the area. Traditionally, many Indian cities have achieved low or mid-rise high density with low FSI values (less than 1.5). This should not be replaced by high-rise, (relatively) lowdensity near transit station just by supply of more FSI. Planners should understand these dynamics in each city and make a context-specific local area plan based on the local demand for more floor space and the nature of the real estate market. Land and real estate prices, property transactions, high per capita floor space consumption are good indicators of demand for more floor space in an area.

## HOW TO PLAN FOR DENSITY-INTENSIT? TRANSIT ORIENTED



- Establish a node specific understanding of density across the transit network. Assess each node in terms of density (per capita floor space consumed), built form and crowding (per capita public space available).
- Use density as a monitoring tool to carry out impact assessment and evaluate carrying capacity at each node. Carrying capacity of an area is not a fixed entity and can be enhanced

by increasing area under public realm based on demand. And so, it has to be an iterative process and should be reviewed at regular intervals.

• Planning approach of 'transformation' is required in already dense core city area, which could benefit from better public realm design, access enhancement, up-gradation of infrastructure and street-oriented buildings.







Diversity indicates mix of uses and users. Heterogeneity of uses in-turn increases the number and types of users. Diversity is therefore, a function of land use, building use as well as users.



# WHY DO WE NEED DIVERSITY?



Indian cities have always had a mixed characteristic at building and street level, enabling co-existence of different users. Conventional planning focuses on segregated mono-functional uses, compromising on diversity and promoting, gated communities.

Diversity makes any area more accessible and attractive to a wider section of the population. This increases the

chance of human interaction (social + economic) and inturn increases choices (movement, housing, commerce, leisure).

Diverse neighbourhoods make urban labour market function in the most efficient way.

Heterogeneity within a TOZ will also facilitate increased ridership.



#### Flexible market responsive zoning

- Introduce flexible FSI with simpler definition
  without many exemptions
- Allow flexible land use and introduce mixed use
  mixed income housing, retail shopping, office spaces, institutions. Avoid gated communities and plotted development.
- Identify transit node typologies based on the local context within a city, transit type and station location; using the 3V framework.

## Develop area-based strategy in LAPs to promote mixed-use development at building level. Example: Case of Ahmedabad, which defines permissible building uses, based on road widths.

interactive places.

• To cater to the diversity in users, LAPs should provide a balanced mix of public and private amenities. Example: Government schools and primary health centers alongside private schools and hospitals.

| T.O. city              | T.O.area        |             | Т.О               | .street             | T.O. b         | uilding             |  |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| Public school Aangar   | wadi Market     |             |                   | Residential tower   | Residential co |                     |  |
| Private school         | Dilet City Park |             |                   | Residential bulding |                | sidential bulding   |  |
| Institutional Building | pital Neic      | dippontpoor | Residential areas | Informal settlement |                | aree storey bulding |  |

T O atreat

- Equitable distribution of road space to accommodate diversity in uses and users. Example: Designing Shared streets, which include pedestrians, automobiles, parking, as well as informal vending.
- Within LAPs, based on transit node typology, recommend proportionate mix of uses at the building level to bring in diversity.







LAP FOR TRANSIT



According to Census 2011, nearly 50% of urban Indians either walk, cycle or use public transit for work trips. 80-90% of this population belongs to the economically weaker sections and lower income groups of the society. Due to lack of affordable housing options in the city, they are forced to locate themselves away from the city center, which is devoid of public transit infrastructure.

Increased affordability in housing and availability of affordable transit within the area around transit will increase transit accessibility and hence, increase transit ridership. Therefore, accessibility is crucial to affordability.

# HOW TO PLAN FOR AFFORDABILITY?

## POLICY - PMAY

"Insitu" Slum Redevelopment Affordable Housing through credit linked subsidy Affordable Housing in partnership

Subsidy for beneficiary led individual house construction

## LINK AFFORDABLE HOUSING WITH PUBLIC TRANSPORT



Will support low-income commuters to be more productive and reduce VKT. Bring affordable housing near transit or take transit to affordable housing



- Propose affordable housing projects based on location affordability index. Location affordability index is an indicator of housing and transportation costs at the neighbourhood level.
- Make transit accessible and affordable by subsidizing transit fares based on economic group (in sync with daily wages), age etc.
- Incentivise national schemes such as PMAY to make housing in areas around transit.

- Based on the node typology, proportionate number of affordable housing units should be provided.
- Incentivise more stand-alone affordable housing projects in areas around transit. Fixed % of EWS to be considered while planning LAP.



# WHAT IS PARKING MANAGEMENT?



#### What?

Demand management is the application of policies and strategies to influence travel behaviour towards sustainable choices such as public transportation and non- motorised transportation. Instead of increasing supply of transportation resources in the form of roads and flyovers, demand management focuses on controlling the travel demand. Parking management essentially is demand management for the consumption of space used for the parking of private motor vehicles. Sometimes due to economic constrains or limitations of space it is not possible to always keep increasing the supply of transport resources , in this case parking. In such cases demand management becomes a handy tool to efficiently organize parking at the area and city level.

# WHY SHOULD WE MANAGE PARKING DEMAND?





The current planning regulations ensures higher supply of off-street parking while there is chaos on the street. Since, parking is a location-linked activity, high demand on street will not go away by providing more parking in buildings. Given the regulations, on-street parking is conflicting with street activities, while parking lots within buildings remain empty. Unless, we manage on-street parking well, we will not be able to improve the street, public realm or walkability.

# HOW TO PLAN FOR PARKING?

## TREAT PARKING AS REAL ESTATE



- Demarcate area in city core around the transit node to implement on-street parking charges.
- Extend to major destinations, arterial roads and subsequently to secondary roads. Eventually extend to suburbs and peripheries.
- Develop a parking policy and pricing strategy based on demand-based dynamic on-spot parking charge.
- Put in place a pricing regime for parking, both in building and streets
- Vehicle use restrictions
- Road space reallocation
- Priority for bus and non-motorized modes
- Flexible work hours.
- Priority of intervention: Calibration Unbundling
  Minimums to Maximums

| T.O. city   | T.O.area                  | T.O.street | T.O. building |
|---|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Area for parking  |                           |            |               |
| MINIMUM<br>Muleu you treat<br>Muleu you treat<br>Parking<br>MAXIMUM | Area reserved for parking |            |               |

Area for parking Area reserved for parking

 Will discourage use of private motor vehicles.
 Efficient use of land and resources.

- Establish the link between on-street and offstreet parking.
- Public parking Price the on-street higher than the off-street to reduce the pressure on the former.
- Off-street private parking Separate the parking cost from the house cost to avoid burdening home buyers. Regulate off-street private parking.
- Active frontages to be given priority over parking provision. Example: Parking provided in the rear half of the ground floor.
- DCRs to recommend parking maximum instead of parking minimum to dis-incentivise land being leveraged for parking at the cost of housing.



Governance frameworks and finance strategies form the basis for implementing LAP around transit.

It is essential for various authorities and agencies to come together to create and invest in public infrastructure so that the city can earn the benefits from the private investments in the area.





Value creation before value capture. Access and design can help create value. Land-use transport integration is key to value creation. Investing in value creation before value capture, ensures increased accessibility within the LAP zone and, increased utility of the transit system. By integrating institutions we are integrating different modes of transit. This will increase the accessibility of the transit system and in turn raise transit ridership.

# HOW TO INVEST TO EARN?







| Principles                  | Transit Oriented City   | Transit Oriented Area   |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Access                      | Multimodal integration<br>Universal accessibility   | Physically accessible infrastructure<br>First & last mile connectivity<br>(Feeder system)<br>Socio-economic diversity of<br>infrastructure<br>Street network for ease of movement |  |  |
| Affordability               | Locational affordability and Location efficiency<br>Affordable transit fares ( Based on minimum wages)<br>Incentivize national schemes to making housing in TOZ |   |  |  |
| Dessite                     | Modify DCRs - to include affordable housing units   | House commuters near transit  |  |  |
| Density                     | Prescribe the minimum percentag<br>Dwelling unit size that is most likely to  |   |  |  |
| Design                      | Form Based Codes<br>Design network of arterial street   | Street networks for pedestrian  |  |  |
| Diversity                   | Intermodal diversity<br>Flexible land use guideline<br>Mixed income housing<br>TOZ Typology based on Node and transit type                                      | Mixed use over segregated<br>monofunctional uses<br>Diversity in social infrastructure  |  |  |
| Travel Demand<br>Management | Pricing parking as real estate consumed   | Earmark zones for parking<br>provision and pricing strategy   |  |  |
| Invest to earn              |   |   |  |  |

## Transit Oriented Street

## Transit Oriented Building

| Universal design  | Pedestrian access at ground floor level |
|---|---|
|   | Dwelling unit size                      |
| Crowding factor   | Dwelling unit size                      |
| Shared, safe, shaded and universally accessible<br>streets = Complete streets                         | Active Frontages                        |
| Shared streets – pedestrian, cyclist, vendors,<br>motorist and parking                                | Flexible building use                   |
| Public realm - price and regulate on street parking<br>(unbundled cost and shift from minimum to maxi |   |

# LAP AROUND TRANSIT





# PLAN YOUR CITY 3V FRAMEWORK

# WHAT IS 3V FRAMEWORK?

#### What is 3V

The 3V approach is utilized to understand a cities transit network in relation with the adjoining transit influence areas. The 3V's stand for Node value, Place value and Market value. Based on these parameters, the framework seeks to determine the relative areas of potential within a city rather than across cities.



The framework develops typologies of stations that classifies all stations in a mass transit network into clusters, to which different development strategies can then be applied.

It creates a relative benchmark of what is the best transit node and hence a more achievable and quantifiable target for other transit nodes in the city.

#### Scope

The framework is applicable to large cities with extensive networks and smaller cities with a few mass transit lines or a bus rapid transit system.

The framework can be utilized as a tool for analysis and assessment which can aide in the process of planning.

#### Limitation

The framework is data intensive and hence requires an already existing data base system.

#### Why is 3V important for Indian cities?

As various Indian cities of different contexts are investing heavily in establishing mass transit systems the framework can provide much needed support in two key areas -The framework is adaptable to both brown-field and greenfield conditions of planning. As most of the Indian cities are being retrofitted with mass transit systems, the framework can help policy makers and planners, capitalize on the existing strength of the nodes that are identified.

As Indian cities are polycentric, the 3V framework helps identify the strengths of different nodes and can assist in proposing relevant and context specific interventions. In doing so, policy makers are able to plan the transit network and zone best suited for the city, and can be used to frame specific planning briefs, which can then be implemented.

#### Calculation

All sub indexes/parameters, were normalized to a value of one. And was then aggregated using the weighted average method to derive the final node, place and market value.

# node Value

PLACE

VALUE

MARKET

VALUE

Node value is dependent on the importance or relevance of a node with respect to others across the transportation network. Its intensity is directly proportional to the number of transit lines and different types of transit modes that are available at the node. Also, the network relevance of the same is computed, which is calculated through the introduction of degree, closeness and 'betweenness centrality' (World Bank, 2017).

Place Value is dependent on the level and quality of urban fabric around the existing node. The intensity of this is directly proportional to a mix of land use, the presence of social infrastructure and amenities, the degree of pedestrian friendliness (block sizes, intersection density) etc. (World Bank, 2017).

# Market potential value is dependent on the unrealized redevelopment potential of the land parcels around transit. On such land parcels economic activities, number of jobs and real estate attractiveness defines the demand. Whilst the ease of redevelopment, amount of land and proposed FAR (FSI) will determine the supply. The land prices fluctuation, properties changing hands and volume of market transactions (either on land or floor space) are also good indicators to gauge the market potential for nodal development in the area (World Bank, 2017).

# **TYPOLOGY AND STRATEGIES**

#### Node value based typology

**Single-line stations** belong mostly to the branches of the urban rail system extending outward into the suburbs. **Core transfer stations** are transfer stations with two or more lines concentrated at the city core.

**Highly connective hubs** rank high in inter-modality, centrality, and intensity of passengers flows. They have the highest node values.

#### Place value based typology

**Suburban areas** are generally low to moderately populated areas that lack a combination of street connectivity, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and urban amenities to more fully support the level of transit service. Suburban areas are generally mono-functional on large areas of land.

**Urban areas** are moderately or substantially populated areas with a good or improving pedestrian/bicycle network and some mix of neighborhood retail and service amenities as well as a moderate mix of supporting jobs. **Intense urban areas** combine a high diversity and intensity of uses with high economic concentrations, making these areas the most likely to support a transit lifestyle.

#### Market value based typology

**Limited areas** have weaker market conditions and lack the demand necessary to support new compact and/or mixed-use development. An emphasis on visioning and planning, to begin to develop interest, is more appropriate. **Emerging areas** have limited to moderate real estate market conditions; intensive building types are generally not supported in the near term. Although they may lack immediate market support for TOD, emerging areas may be ideally suited for catalytic TOD investments to enhance local market strength, because land and development costs are not high and small investments may catalyze further market investment.

**Strong areas** have market conditions that are already ripe or ripening, TOD investment should focus on improving urban living amenities and developing prototype developments.

#### **Investment strategies**

**Infill** is mainly for nodes in suburban neighborhoods with single transit lines and low market value. It involves the reuse of urban land for new, higher-density construction. The strategy is to promote long-term planning and increase activity levels .Such locations can provide good opportunities for affordable housing.

**Intensification** is for urban neighborhoods with interchanges and emerging markets. Which are typically built-up areas with good existing or potential public transit links that can support redevelopment at higher than existing densities. Such locations can be prime opportunities to provide affordable housing.

**Transformation** is for major hubs. Creating a high level of place value—through job concentration and good urban design, including major investments in public spaces—can create high peaks of land and real estate value. The strategy is to invest in aggressive TOD projects to push the market.

# DATA FRAMEWORK

#### NODE VALUE

#### Centrality

- Degree centrality
- Betweenness centrality
- Slow Fast train
- Closeness centrality

#### Intensity of human flow

- Daily ridership
- Boarding alighting data
- Projected ridership
- Section load

#### Inter-modal diversity

• No of alternate transit options in the area - bus stand, bus depo, auto stand etc.

#### PLACE VALUE

**Density of street intersections**- number of intersection per SqKm

#### Local pedestrian accessibility

- Area covered under 8 min walking distance
- Average urban block size Area and Perimeter

#### **Diversity of uses**

- no of types of land use
- Area under each land use
- Built-up area under each use

#### **Density of social amenities**

• No of cultural,educational,health services etc. Unmet demand of social amenities

#### MARKET VALUE

#### **Drivers of demand**

- Human density
- Jobs/Residential ratio
- Human density growth potential

#### **Drivers of supply**

• Real estate opportunities - Develop-able land and develop-able floor space in the area

#### **Market Vibrancy**

 Dynamics of real estate - Additional square meter built in the area (last 10 years)

#### Social composition of neighborhood

- Average or median income
- Percentage of managers in labor force

#### No of accessible jobs by public transit (30mins)

# HOW DO YOU DO IT?

## **STEP 1-DELINEATE**

## STEP 3-COLLECT DATA



- Locate and Identify the transit corridor
- Demarcate the stations and the 800 m buffer around the stations.

## STEP 2-IDENTIFY DATA



- Create a list of parameters/Subindex and sources based on the 3V data framework.
- Identify data gaps based on availability of parameters/subindex.
- Based on data gaps, identify appropriate proxy/substitute and their sources.

#### **Node value**

- Degree centrality
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality
- Intensity of node activity
- Inter-modal diversity

#### **Place value**

- Density of street intersections
- Pedestrian accessibility

- Pedestrian/bicycle
  connectivity
- Diversity of land uses
- Density of social
  infrastructure.

#### Market value

- Human density
- Activity mix
- Demand supply market
- Human density growth rate
- Number of accessible jobs
- Real estate opportunities
- Dynamics of real estate
- Volume of transactions.

## STEP 4 - CALCULATE 3V'S

- Transfer all data collected on to a master excel sheet. Preferably each sheet is assigned to each of the 3V's.
- Calculate the parameters/subindex by normalizing values to 1.
- Assign weight-age to each of the parameters/sub indexes.
- Calculate the aggregated Index of node, place and market value by the weighted average method.

## STEP 5 - ANALYZE AND PLAN

- Plot all transit station onto a 2D graph, Node v/s Place, Place v/s Market and Node v/s Market.
- Overlay the 3V's on to a map to spatialize the data, and draw initial inferences by broadly defining typologies.
- Use the 3V's to develop typologies based on the clustering of stations. And also highlight benchmark transit nodes for the network. ( Further detailed in the following pages )
- Based on the above methods identify the direction for planning brief.

## PLOT AND SPATIALIZE



#### **BUILD TYPOLOGY**



## **DEVELOP PLANNING BRIEF**



# HOW DO YOU USE IT?

## FOR PLANNING

#### At the LAP level

3V can help build a rationale for planning at the LAP level while being cognizant of the transit network. This can be achieved by identifying the typology of the station and then the development potential of each transit node, and hence define the necessary phasing and degree of investment.

|        | 0.45 | Core Transfer         |
|--------|------|-----------------------|
| Mulund | 0.38 | Urban                 |
| 1 10   | 0.18 | Limited               |
| 1 iv   | 0.72 | High Connectivity Hub |
| Kurla  | 0.26 | Suburban              |
| D      | 0.47 | Emerging              |
| 18     | 0.80 | High Connectivity Hub |
| Dadar  | 0.48 | Urban                 |
|        | 0.31 | Limited               |

For example lets consider the 3 transit stations Kurla, Dadar and Mulund. All of them are quite diverse in their urban fabric, geographic location, function in the transit network and market characteristics. Which is also reflected in their Node,Place and Market. Hence the development strategies that need to be employed are unique.

In the case of **Mulund**, located in the suburbs of greater mumbai, the 3V suggests the **Intensification** strategy. The relatively higher node and place value and low market value, it indicates an untapped potential of the market. Hence the strategies would include investing in increasing place value, by enhancing the level of accessibility. Formulate a long term visioning plan, so as to plan investments and activate the market gradually.

In the case of **Kurla**, the 3V framework suggest the **transformation** strategy. Although the market value is reflective of its high node value the dip, in market value can be attributed to the low place value. Hence the investments are to be focused on creating high quality public realm which can be supportive of higher densities. The plan should also focus on facilitating higher mix of uses and densities. And develop TOD projects that can greatly boost the micro economy meaning higher concentration of job of different nature etc.

In the case of **Dadar**, the 3V framework suggests the **transformation** strategy. Here the focus would be to activate the market potential. As node and place area already higher, the lower market potential can be improved with better and more development centric regulations which are focused towards more efficient usage of land. Increased FAR/FSI opening up higher build able volumes and also setting controls on DU sizes can help achieve higher densities, area under on street parking needs to be removed or highly priced.

The above inferences can be augmented by looking more closely at the urban fabric of the respective nodes.

#### At the City level

3V can help synchronize land use and transport by giving the right cues to the development plan. For instance the framework can help decide

Where ,along the transit corridor, higher but differential population densities can be located. Which can be achieved by identifying the TOZs that are ready for higher FSI/FAR or to be demarcated as TDR receiving zones.

Where major institutional, commercial and other job centers can be planned with transit, hence supporting higher job densities along transit corridor.

Which transit nodes can be designed as multi-modal hubs and where Affordable housing provisions can be made within accessible distance of the transit network.

## FOR MONITORING

The 3V is a dynamic framework which can enable its users to constantly monitor and update the situation of transit nodes in the city. By virtue of the data used, the 3V provides quantifiable indicators of how much a particular node has improved or not. It can also be used to assess the impacts and efficiency of a given Local area plan.

Broadly the 3V can help monitor in two areas,

**Land use monitoring** - area under streets, roads, intersection density, block sizes, building use etc.

**Transit monitoring** - availability of transit options within TOZ, frequency of transit, the degree of ridership



PLAN YOUR CITY OPERATIONALIZING THE 3V APPROACH IN PUNE

# STEP 1 DELINEATE


### **NETWORK PROFILE**

Municipal area - 421 sq. kms. Metropolitan area - 7256.46 sq. kms.•

Population (2018\*) - 6.7 Million Census-2011 - 3.12 Million DENSITY - 5600 / sq. kms.

Total Street Network - 2200 kms. Total Vehicle Population - 36,27,280

**Transit profile - Buses** No. of buses- 1402 No. of terminals - 99

Transit profile - Rainbow BRTS Existing BRTS Routes - 4 Nos. - 61 kms. Stations - 36 Proposed BRTS Routes - 3 Nos. - 114 kms. Stations - 66

Transit profile - IPT Total Nos. - 12261

#### Transit profile-Bicycle Sharing (PBS)

No. of Cycles - 7700 existing (2018) 13100 (proposed) No. of Stations - 388 (proposed) 300 kms. Cycle Tracks/Lanes (proposed Transit profile-Metro Line Line - 01 (Swargate to PCMC) Length- 16.95 kms. No. of stations - 14 Stations Expected Daily Ridership 2037 - 4,43,849

Line - 02 (Vanaz to Ramwadi ) Length- 14.66 kms. No. of stations - 16 Expected Daily Ridership 2037 - 2,90,515

Line - 03 ( Shivajinagar to Hinjewadi ) Length - 23.3 kms. No. of stations - 23 Stations

#### Transit profile-HCMTR

(High Capacity Mass Transit Route) Character - Elevated Ring Road Length - 37 kms. ROW - 24 Meters Central BRTS corridor with three lanes of carriage way on both sides.

#### Modal Share

Walking - 47% Buses - 11% Cycles - 9% IPT - 4% 2 Wheeler - 26% 4 Wheeler - 6%

### STEP 2 IDENTIFY DATA

#### VALUES/AGGREGATED INDEX

#### PARAMETERS/SUB INDEX



### STEP 3 COLLECT DATA

| SOURCE/AGENCY                                       | PROXY  |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | Ridenship of Noder                                   |  |
| Propertied Retensing 2534 ( Maha-Matro Prane )      |  |  |
| No. of Bus Stands ( PNPNL )                         | Number of Transits in the Area                       |  |
| No. of Bus Depote ( Pageur, )                       | Aurober of Bull Routes                               |  |
| Punk Mahanagar Parkathan Mahamandal Limited (PMPNL) |  |  |
| Mater Network ( Mate Share Pure )                   | Transit Network                                      |  |
| No. of Railway Stations ( Google maps )             | Population Density                                   |  |
| Centaux 2011 Ward Population                        | Job Denvity  |  |
| Calculated on our capits space in DP of stell (PNC) | and Exterio Values- residential, sinces, commercials |  |
| Pyne Roady Racksner                                 | and the state internet and the state                 |  |
| Census 2000 - 2011 Ward Population                  | Population Generally Growth                          |  |
| Existing Land Use of the City (PMC)                 | Entropy of Land Uses (Aresa)                         |  |
| Gaogie Masie  | Entropy of Number of Social Amendme                  |  |
|   | Number of Street Instructions                        |  |
| DP altite Dig (MMC)                                 | Black Perimeter                                      |  |

### STEP 4 CALCULATE NODE VALUE

| PARAMETER   | METHOD/SOFTWARE USED                                     | WEIGHTAGE   |
|---|--|---|
| CENTRALITY  |  | 10%   |
| Degree centrality<br>Closeness centrality<br>Betweenness centrality | "GEPHI" software was used to calculate the centralities. | Since the line is linear, values of centrality will not vary.   |
| INTENSITY OF NODE   |  | 60%   |
| Daily projected ridership   | Values were normalized to 1                              | Projected Ridership is the main<br>component as it validates the node<br>and its importance in the network. |

#### INTER-MODAL DIVERSITY

Number of Bus Routes No. Of Bus Stands No. Of bus Depots Presence of BRTS stations Weighted average method was used to derive inter-modal value. Value were then normalized to 1

#### 30%

Bus Routes, Bus Stands, Bus Depots, BRTS PMPML are the other inter-modal transport facilities that enhance the area .hence constitutes 30%.

All sub indexes/parameters, were normalized to a value of one. And was then aggregated using the weighted average method to derive the final node value. The weight ages vary as per accuracy and relevance of the data available or extracted to formulate the node value



Each of these sub-indexes are combined into a aggregated index as the NODE Value. The Node values vary across all the stations and are computed only for the stations of two lines off the three lines planned in Pune. Then spatially located them into five major categories and found that one line exhibits higher node values than the other, which lies mostly in the medium and low distribution range of nodes.

#### MAXIMUM NODE VALUE: SWARGATE (0.88)

(This area has city wide connectivity and has a interstate bus junction, a high inter-modal diversity).

#### MINIMUM NODE VALUE : KALYANI NAGAR (0.26)

(This area has the lowest node value).

### STEP 4 CALCULATE PLACE VALUE

| PARAMETER  | METHOD/SOFTWARE USED                  | WEIGHTAGE  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| INTERSECTION DENSITY   |                                       | 20%  |
| Number of street intersections   | Geographic Information<br>System(GIS) | The existing street network gives<br>the picture on density of existing<br>streets.  |
| PEDESTRIAN ACCESSIBILITY   |                                       | 35%  |
| Block perimeter  | Geographic Information<br>System(GIS) | Block perimeter is major component to providing accessibility.   |
| DIVERSITY OF LAND USE  |                                       | 35%  |
| Land uses  | Entropy index of land uses            | The existing use distribution in the<br>area decides the potential and<br>opportunities in the area and the<br>existing mix of uses. |
| DIVERSITY OF SOCIAL AMENITIES  |                                       | 10%  |
| Number of social amenities   | Entropy Index of social amenities     | Since just the number of social amenities are considered and not the areas of the same.  |
| All sub indexes/parameters, were<br>normalized to a value of one. And<br>was then aggregated using the<br>weighted average method to derive<br>the final Place value |                                       | The weight ages vary as per<br>accuracy and relevance of the data<br>available or extracted to formulate<br>the place value          |

**PLACE** value



Each of these sub indexes are combined into a single weighted average as the PLACE Value. The aggregated place value index maintains the pattern of higher place value only at three stations in the city. Place value is evenly distributed across the line two as many of the places on this line lie in areas with high urban developments. There are six stations which have low place values .

#### MAXIMUM PLACE VALUE: PMC (0.81)

(This area has high urban quality and it is at the city center).

#### MINIMUM PLACE VALUE : KHADKI (0.52)

(This area has the lowest urban quality as it is under cantonment and exhibits very low development).

### STEP 4 CALCULATE MARKET VALUE

| PARAMETER   | METHOD/SOFTWARE USED   | WEIGHTAGE  |
|---|--|--|
| HUMAN DENSITY   |  | 33%  |
| Ward level population data  | Derived people/SqM and<br>distributed it across the transit<br>area  | The existing street network gives<br>the picture on density of existing<br>streets.  |
| HUMAN DENSITY GROWTH RATE   |  | 33%  |
| Ward level population data  | Geographic Information<br>System(GIS)  | Block perimeter is major component to providing accessibility.   |
| REAL ESTATE OPPORTUNITY   |  | 33%  |
| Ready reckoner rates for residential,<br>Commercial units and shops   | Average of ready reckoner rates  | The existing use distribution in the<br>area decides the potential and<br>opportunities in the area and the<br>existing mix of uses. |
| JOB/RESIDENTIAL RATIO   |  |  |
| Commercial area size and standard<br>space requirements based on the<br>existing land-use of the city (PMC) | Distributed Jobs/SqM (derived<br>from census 2011 ward level data)<br>over commercial area in catchment<br>area. |  |
|   |  | The weight ages vary as per<br>accuracy and relevance of the data<br>available or extracted to formulate<br>the market value         |



Each of these sub indexes are combined into a single weighted average as the MARKET Value. The aggregated market value index has a variance all along the nodes as the market drivers of demand and supply vary from place to place with impact on the node and the place value of the area. MAXIMUM MARKET VALUE:- SAMBHAJI UDYAN (0.79) (This area is in city center and has high demand and supply in the market).

#### MINIMUM MARKET VALUE : PHUGEWADI (0.19)

(It is in the outskirts and has very less market drivers).

### STEP 5 ANALYZE

PLACE - NODE CORRELATION







LAP FOR TRANSIT

#### MARKET - PLACE CORRELATION



From the three graphs it is quite evident that most stations in Pune on an average have high place value. While both market potential value and node value vary.

It can be inferred that, Pune will need to focus on infill and intensification strategies to further enhance the transit nodes. Insisting on creating development consistent of higher population and job densities. (This area has the lowest urban quality as it is under cantonment and exhibits very low development).

For example the Sambhaji Udyan transit node (marked in green circle) is located within the city center of Pune and possesses high place and market value but only medium node value. Consequently, the development strategy to be followed is transformation, with a focus on improving the node connectivity with the city while augmenting the public realm.

### STEP 5 DEVELOP PLANNING BRIEF

#### 3V RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SAMBHAJI UDYAN METRO STATION

Station Typology :- Core Transfer Station Intense Urban Area Strong Market

#### **INCREASE NODE VALUE - Intensification**

- Improve intra network connection by introducing new connections with other transit nodes
- Propose for multi-modal connections
- Strengthen inter modal diversity in transit influence zone

#### **INCREASE PLACE VALUE - Transformation**

- Intensify mix of uses, by facilitating concentration of commercial, cultural and educational amenities
- Facilitate vertical mix
- Improve NMT access to station
- Reduce the proportion of area under parking

#### **INCREASE MARKET VALUE - Transformation**

- Facilitate and promote higher job density in the area
- Increase FSI, to allow for higher built up area
- Create space for affordable housing, by controlling dwelling unit size



### **NODE, PLACE AND MARKET**



# PLAN YOUR AREA LAP PLANNING FRAMEWORK

### LAP PLANNING FRAMEWORK



A circle of 400m radius drawn keeping the transit node at the center to demarcate the extent of the LAP. The final boundary will include all blocks which is covered more than half

Conduct a preliminary survey and understand the site and its character. Photo documentation, perception studies, SWOT analysis etc. to be carried out and locate transit node in context of city.

Collect data on built form, land ownership, street inventory, street activity, land market, land use. Organize database on TOD scales City, Area, Street and Building.

Assess data on built form, land ownership, street inventory, street activity, land market, land use. Organize database on TOD scales City, Area, Street and Building.

Identify and analyze the planning brief for the LAP. Formulate broad contours of the necessary planning or design interventions with respect to TOD scales -City,Area,Street and Building.

LAP FOR TRANSIT

Reconnaissance - Local Area Plan





Create the physical plan for street networks and the design for streets, public spaces and street edges.

TOD principles - Accessibility and Design of Public realm

Create an enabling framework to aide and regulate the private realm, in terms of density and mix of uses that is conducive to TOZ.

TOD principles - Density and Diversity

Incentivize the provision, building and sustenance of affordable housing in TOZ and hence also ensuring a diverse urban fabric.

TOD principles - Affordability and Diversity

Manage the public realm, by placing regulatory mechanism to organize on street and off street parking dynamics TOD principles - Parking

Calculate the costs to be incurred, identify revenue sources and phasing of the plan to ensure implementation of the plan (6-9) TOD principles - Invest to earn

### DETAILED LAP PLANNING FRAMEWORK

#### PLAN + DESIGN

#### **To Improve Network**

- A. Identify major mobility corridors and then super blocks
- B. Identify activity generator or strategic centers (Heritage, Museum, Transit Node)
- C. Locate existing street network and Plot boundaries and existing built structure
  - a. Assess the network Highlight dead ends or broken links.
  - b. Assess the connectivity to the major activity generator or strategic centers.

#### D. Propose revised street network

- a. Plan the super block
  - The street network should first aim to complete all possible network that fall within the public realm (i.e. if links are made through public land
    A foot over bridge or sky walk to enhance
    - pedestrian mobility over the railway track)
  - ii. Next, propose street network which require to pass through the private realm.
  - iii. Ensure newer streets are aligned to plot boundaries as far as possible
  - iv. If at all proposed streets must pass through existing property it will fall under the redevelopment scenario.

- v. Ensure proposed streets follow hierarchy and ascertain that all collector streets terminate on either sub-arterial, arterial or any other road of higher hierarchy.
- vi. Finally ensure the resulting blocks created are of walkable block perimeter and shape.
- vii. Assess whether the street network connects all activity generators or strategic centers.
- viii. Identify possible Pedestrian corridors based on highest pedestrian activity. These streets can then be designated for other supporting activities like vending etc.
- E. Finally ensure all super blocks are linked to each other and the global network (i.e. Through movement) are linked to Local network (To movement)
  - Ensure all junctions (Arterial sub arterial, Sub arterial - collector, Collector - Pedestrian) are geared towards Pedestrian and NMT

#### To Improve public realm

#### A. Develop street guidelines

- a. Based on the context develop pedestrian friendly street guidelines. The said guidelines have to be reflective of the functional hierarchy of streets.
  - In TOD areas, higher priority to be given to Pedestrian>NMT>Publictransport>Private transport
- b. Streets guidelines should also detail out location of

services and look to integrate all services spatially.

#### B. Develop building regulations

- Based on the functional identity or TOD Typology develop contextual regulation (For e.g. New york city). Nonetheless the regulation must address the following
  - i. Ensure active frontages are propagated
  - Ensure built to line varies from 50 % to 100 % based on the proximity to activity generators or strategic centers (Transit station, Heritage sites etc.)
  - iii. Avoid all hard edges like compound walls

#### REGULATE

#### To Improve Density

A. Assess existing densities – Population density, DU density based on a ground truth survey

#### B. Assess demand of the area -

- a. Existing consumption of floor space Is consumed FSI/FAR higher or lower than prescribed FAR/FSI.
- b. Market rates for area Is the value higher or lower than the surrounding area

#### C. Based on the above 2 possible scenarios arise

- a. Low demand scenario Low Market prices & Low FSI/FAR Consumption. Inference is
  - i. Demand might be getting absorbed elsewhere, feedback for DP
  - ii. No Demand

- b. High demand scenario Low Market Prices & High FSI/FAR consumption or High Market Prices & Low FSI/FAR consumption or High Market Prices & High FSI/FAR consumption
  - i. High Demand Increase FSI/FAR

#### D. If FSI/FAR to be increased

- a. Estimate necessary open space required
- b. Estimate necessary up gradation of existing trunk infrastructure

#### To Improve diversity

- A. Understand and evaluate present land use and building use mix based on which develop a functional identity for the Transit node ( if 3V used, develop TOD Typology)
- B. Based on this develop the framework for diversity at the over all area level and then based on road widths
  - a. Based on the functional identity detail out incompatible uses.
    - i. For e.g. a purely residential unit will be incompatible in a node whose functional identity is commercial. In such places mixed units are preferable.
    - ii. Incompatible uses can either be enforced or dis - incentivized
  - b. Link road widths to permissible uses. E.g. Ahmedabad
- C. Private stakeholders to be incentivized for contributing to area under public realm and providing inclusive housing

#### To Support Affordability

- A. Incentivize the development of smaller and affordable units. 3 Segments to be considered - Market driven affordable housing, Rental housing and government supported mixed income or affordable housing units.
- B. Incentive mechanism that can be utilized
  - a. Reducing chargeable FSI/FAR
  - b. Reduction in parking requirement

#### MANAGE

#### To Manage parking

- On street parking is to be charged higher than off street parking.
- B. On street parking in TOZ needs to be regulated
- C. On street parking provisions to be defined by the type of streets i.e. Mobility, Shared Mobility and Pedestrian.
- D. Parking units/Lots are to be discouraged within TOZs.

#### **FINANCE**

#### **To Plan Resources**

- A. Understand how much the plan will cost?
- B. What is cost of new roads, infrastructure etc.
- C. Identify various revenue generating mechanisms in the LAP
  - a. Chargeable FSI
  - b. Parking management
  - c. Advertisement
- D. Develop the phasing component for the plan to chart investment and revenue generation flow

### **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**

The indicators need to be placed in comparison before and after making the plan. These performance indicators help evaluate the plan in accordance with the principles laid down in this handbook. There may be other performance indicators for implementation, finance or other components.

#### Accessibility

- a. Increase in transit ridership per day/month/year
- b. Reduction in average block perimeter in the area
- c. Percentage of streets with walkable footpaths
- d. Increase in junction density in the area
- e. Increase in safe pedestrian crossings in the area
- f. Increase in the number of lifts/escalators to access the stations

#### Design

- a. Percentage increase in area under public realm and streets
- b. Percentage increase in area under public open spaces
- c. Increase in number of buildings with active frontages
- d. Kilometers of streets being re-designed under street design projects
- e. Number of place-making, urban design projects in the area

#### Density

- a. Increase in proposed floor space (sq mts) in the area
- b. Percentage of homes within 5 min (~400 m) walking distance of transit stations
- c. Percentage of shops/offices within 5 min walking distance of transit stations

#### Diversity

- a. Increase in area/floorspace under mixed land use
- b. Increase in land use entropy index
- c. Increase in the number of new recreational facilities and social amenities

#### Affordability

- a. Increase in land for affordable housing
- b. Increase in floorspace earmarked for affordable housing

#### Parking Demand

- a. Increase in street kilometers under paid on-street parking policy
- b. Increase in area with special parking regulations for unbundled parking in homes, offices and commercial establishments

#### Invest to Earn

- a. Increase in revenue from re-development and new building projects
- b. Increase in investments for the infrastructure and services in the local area

**PLAN YOUR AREA** MUMBAI, LOWER PAREL A CASE OF TRANSFORMATION DEMONSTRATION OF LAP PLANNING FRAMEWORK

### UNDERSTANDING CITY CONTEXT



#### **CITY PROFILE**

AREA: 480.24 sq.km POPULATION: 12.44 million (Census 2011) DENSITY: 25,903 per/sq.km

#### **PUBLIC TRANSIT**

Suburban Rail - 427.5 Km Metro - 11.4 km (operational) + 222.6km (proposed) Monorail- 19.54Km (Operational)

#### TRANSIT BEHAVIOR

Train - 40.6% Bus - 27.7% Rickshaw - 6.8% Taxi - 1.7% Car - 7.9% 2W - 13.7 % Metro/mono - 1.6 %

The city of Mumbai, is known as the financial capital now, was a major hub of textile manufacturing in the country. Many textile mills were located around the Lower Parel area. With the mills lying defunct since 1970s-80s; The supreme court judgment on the redevelopment of mill lands in 1996, made the area one of the most sought-after parcels of real estate in Mumbai then on. The redevelopment of the low-rise mills and chawls with large ground coverage has rapidly changed the skyline of the area to high rise luxury real estate. However, this rapid redevelopment has not been able to add any new public areas or utilities or road space to enhance livability for the residents and commuters.

### STEP 1-2 DELINEATE-LOCATE

Lower Parel today is a mixture of high end real estate in few pockets surrounded by defunct industrial and large parcels of railway land. This LAP intends to achieve greater 'transformation' by adding more area under public realm, utility and road space.

To delineate the site, an 800 meter radius circle is drawn keeping the transit node at the center. The final boundary are delineated based on the next major road or completion of an urban block.



Changing Mill Landscape of Lower Parel



## STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT AREA LEVEL

1. CADASTRAL

2. LAND USE





The important stakeholders in the area are the Indian Railways and the private sector owning the mill land parcel.

The LAP strategies can focus on a framework to unlock the potential of railway land and incentivize creation of public open spaces from the area under the private ownership The land use pattern shows a mix of uses with the redevelopment of the mills. However, most of the redeveloped buildings are mono functional without a vertical mix of uses, with high compound walls and as a result does not contribute to the public realm. The suburban railway network divides the area/city in two parts, the east and west.

#### 3. BLOCK SIZES



4. PLOT SIZES



With the area transforming from industrial lands to mix use residential and commercial / retail development, the large block sizes result in an average time of 20 min to walk half the block perimeter. Thus, reducing the level of walkability.

The redevelopment should focus on creating additional pedestrian priority roads to support the changing land use of the area.

The large plot sizes and depths is a result of single ownership of mill lands in the private domain and a lack of addition of new roads to the area on redevelopment.

The large plots have helped in supporting the emerging typology, however created gated communities reducing walk-ability and livability in the area

## STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT STREET LEVEL

5. EXISTING STREET NETWORK

6. ACTIVITY MAPPING



The linear geography of the city results in few arterial north south connections. Further the railway land divides the city creating an inefficient east-west connection. Some of the key issues to be tackled

• Existence of incompatible street hierarchy and disjointed network leading to traffic congestion.

• Poor quality of footpaths and lack of street design reduces the walkability further.



• Lack of parking facilities and management around station.

• Large volume of informal activity close to station area.

Therefore, the proposal should aim at creating larger area in public realm around the station to absorb the demand for on street parking and vending zones. 7. Value of Active Frontage



9. Informal vending in station areas



10. Section through 30m wide Tulsi Pipe Road



8. Low Quality of Footpaths



The top corner image strongly exhibits how movement patterns are affected where a well paved and landscaped footpath fails to attract pedestrian compared to a broken-shop lined one.

The existing blocks have continuous footpaths however, there is a lack of design consideration with respect to street utilities, landscape and ergonomics to make it safe and usable pedestrians.

## STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT BUILDING LEVEL

11. Built Typology



12. Consumed FAR



The area exhibits diversity in built typologies. However, a close study of the earlier residential typologies like chawls reveals them as transitoriented building with built to line edges, no compound walls leading to an active life between the buildings and streets.

The new typologies are in complete contrast acting as gated activities with a lack of interactive frontages

The high FAR seen in the recently developed land parcels resulted in low ground coverage and tall buildings with no area being added into the public open spaces.

Also, most of the built area is contributed by the parking podiums which is counter intuitive to the idea of TOD.

#### 13. Edge Conditions



The edges are dominated by high compound walls of both newly built buildings and defunct industrial land. Resulting in non-responsive edges and in active frontages.

#### 14. Property Prices(Rs/sqm)



There is high demand for retail commercial, corporate offices and high-end residential units in the area. Redevelopment of existing chawl units and efficient utilization of railway land can provide opportunities for adding affordable housing units in the area.

### STEP 4 ASSESS





#### LAP FOR TRANSIT

#### T.O. CITY

• East West Connectivity

• Enhance east west connectivity

#### T.O.AREA

- Lack of Multimodal Integration
- Cumbersome station exits
- Railway as the largest land stake holder
- Opportunity for TOD On railway Land
- Creating a amultimodal hub
- Decongesting and activating the station area
- Unlocking the potential of railway land

#### T.O.STREET

- Incomplete street network
- Narrow streets (5-6m wide) leading to plots
- Lack of PT and NMT infrastructure

- Enhance street connectivity for better accessibility in the area
- Enhance PT and NMT Infrastructure

#### T.O.BUILDING

- Lack of spatial Planning
- Mix of Built Typology
- Large Plots = Low Ground Coverage
- Tall Building = High FSI
- No open space added in public realm

- Enable Redevelopment
- Regulate Development keeping the TOD Principles in mind.
- Incentivise creation of area in public realm through redevlopment

### STEP 4 ASSESS

|          | STEP 3 - DATA CC   |                     |  | STEP 4   |
|----------|--|---------------------|--|--|
| SCALES   | INTENT   | DATA<br>COLLECTED   | SCENARIO   | ISSUES/OPPORTUNITIES   |
|          | Ownership and redevelopment potential                            | Cadestral           | Two large stakeholders-<br>Railways and private sector   | Potential for redevlopment   |
|          | Fabric and redevelopment potential                               | Plot Sizes          | Majority large plots of single ownership   | Single ownership creating gated<br>communities and compromised<br>walkability                          |
| AREA     | Character  | Landuse             | Redevelopemnt of mills has led to<br>a horizontal mix of uses  | Monofunctional building since<br>vertical mix unexplored   |
|          | Walkability  | Block Sizes         | Large block sizes due to industrial landuse  | Compromised walkability due to<br>large block perimeter requiring<br>40min to cover a single perimeter |
| OTDEET   | Accessibility  | Street Hierarchy    | Linear geography and large parcels<br>of railway land creates an<br>inefficient east-west connection       | East-west connection will help<br>reduce traffic congestion and<br>improve accessibility               |
| STREET   | Flow of pedestrian and<br>onstreet space consump-<br>tion        | Public realm        | Conflict between parking, informal vending and pededstrians  | Lack of parking management and<br>large volume of informal activity<br>close to the station            |
| BUILDING | Mix of uses, Population<br>density and use of space<br>over time | Building use        | Diverse mix of building uses.Older<br>buildings showcase characteristics<br>of TOB, unlike new development | New developments lack active<br>frontages impacting the street<br>character                            |
|          | Consumed FSI   | Building height     | New developments show low<br>ground coverage yet no area being<br>added into the public realm              | Unutilised front and side margins due to low ground coverage   |
|          | Relation between public and private                              | Edge condition      | Edges dominated by high<br>compound walls and podium<br>parking  | Compromised safety of pedestrians and poor design of public realm                                      |
|          | Market demand  | Property/land price | High land prices- Employment hub with premium residential units.   | Lack of affordable housing choices   |

### STEP 5 ENVISION

| ASSESS  | STEP 5 - ENVISION  |                       |
|---|--|-----------------------|
|   | PROPOSALS  | PRINCIPLES            |
| Incentivize redevelopment and creation of public open spaces  | East-west connectivity.<br>Creating a multimodal hub.  | ACCESS                |
| Incentivize redevelopment to increase area under<br>public realm and improve accessibility<br>Acknowledging the redevelopment pattern, plan for<br>liberal mixed use zoning including horizontal and<br>vertical mix. Plan for corresponding pedestrian | Facilitate redevelopment of railway land to provide<br>affordable housing component to development<br>incentives such as air rights and making TOZ as<br>TDR receiving zone.<br>Complete street network by adding roads in areas<br>with large blocks having poor accessibility .<br>Increase intersections through plot margins and | DESIGN                |
| infrastructure, public amenities, social infrastructure<br>and parking management<br>Redevelopment should focus on creating additional<br>pedestrian priority streets to support the changing<br>landuse  | redevelopment, removing cul-de-sacs. Allocate paid parking zones based on demand and building use.   | DENSITY               |
| Provide east-west connection using compliment-<br>ing street hierarchy and providing NMT<br>infrastructure  | Dedicated and unobstructured cycling and pedestrian pathways through the area.   | DIVERSITY             |
| Increase area under public realm to absorb the<br>demand for informal vending zones<br>New developments to take cue from character of the<br>older development. Older development can be<br>redeveloped while retaining the existing edge character     | Redistribution of ROW so as to incorporate all<br>street activities like vehicular movment, NMT<br>movement, utilities, green spaces, vending,<br>busstops, parking for cycles, organized parking for<br>vehicles.   | AFFORDABILITY         |
| Increased edge porosity through physical or visual  | Incoporate public domain as a building regulation requirement based on road width.<br>Regulate building frontages to avoid parking towards front margin. Disallow tall compound walls,   | Parking<br>Management |
| trough physical of visual<br>connection or active frontages. Amend DCR to shift<br>from parking minimum to maximum.<br>Utilise public (railway) land to develop affordable<br>housing   | mandate/incentivize built-to-edge.<br>Incentivize pedestrian access at ground level<br>through private property.<br>Regulate supply of parking in buildings by<br>converting parking minimus to maximums.  | INVEST TO EARN        |

### **STEP 6** PLAN+DESIGN -IMPROVE NETWORK 16. ACCESSIBILITY MAP- EXISTING

15. EXISTING BLOCK SIZES



# Legend 1 - 200 m 201 - 400 m 401 - 600 m 601 - 800 m 800 m

#### **IDENTIFY MOBILITY CORRIDOR**



#### DEMARCATE SUPER BLOCKS



### ACTIVITY GENERATOR




IDENTIFY NEW SUB ARTERIAL ROADS AND PLAN SUBSEQUENT STREETS









## **STEP 6** PLAN+DESIGN -IMPROVE NETWORK

| Data Required                             | Intent  | Proposal             |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Plot Size Map                             | To identify new roads under redevelopment scenario  |                      |
| Existing Building Use and<br>Land use Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thoroughfares   | Proposed Block Sizes |
| Street Hierarchy Map                      | To understand the flow of vehicular traffic   |                      |
| Existing Footpath Map                     | To assess NMT network completion  | Multi-modal Hub      |
| Existing Accessibility Map                | To assess the distance from each node to transit station<br>and identify the need for newer connections |                      |

19. EAST - WEST CONNECTIVITY MAP- EXISTING 20. EAST - WEST CONNECTIVITY - PROPOSED





The station has great strengths owing to the presence of multiple transit facilities within the 800 meter of the station. But owing to dispersed locations of these structures i.e. Lower Parel suburban rail station, Currey Road suburban rail Station, Mono rail and Metro rail there is hardly any connectivity between these modes. The lack of multi-modal integration, cumbersome station exits, traffic congestion and lack of east - west connectivity greatly affects the potential of the area.

By integrating the Lower Parel and curry road station the transit node can be redesigned into a multi-modal hub (Fig 20). This promotes access across the site by connecting the western and eastern parts of the site. In doing so commuters can transfer from western to the central line conveniently.

#### 21. MULTI-MODAL HUB



The multi-modal hub (Fig 21) promotes 3 different levels of connectivity.

The design promotes direct access from feeder systems (Buses and para-transit) to the transit hub. Thus, promoting seam less transfer across modes.

The design promotes vending activity and pedestrian mobility at the concourse level. By connecting the concourse level to the Transit Oriented Buildings, the pedestrian activity is further expanding and also allows for east - west connection.

The design enables pedestrians to directly access the multi-modal hub via the street network.

These 3 levels ensures and creates an opportunity for a well connected hub promoting and creating a vibrant public realm.

## STEP 6 PLAN+DESIGN -IMPROVE PUBLIC REALM

22. DESIGN AT AREA LEVEL



| Data Required             | Intent  | Proposal                            |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Public Realm activity Map | To evaluate the utilization of street space and relation between activities                             | Public Realm Area Level -<br>Design |
| Street Hierarchy          | To understand the flow of vehicular and pedestrian movement and nature of NMT infrastructure required   | Public Realm Street Level<br>Design |
| Street Section            | To understand the distribution of the ROW   | Public Realm Building               |
| Edge condition            | To understand the interface between public and private realm and its impact on the walking environment. | Level Design                        |

#### 23. DESIGN AT STREET LEVEL



In Lower Parel at the area level, the focus is to design a continuous public realm and augment the effective area under public realm. This is achieved by opening up the spaces in building margins and connecting it with the continuous network of public realm i.e. streets. This would result in a public realm that is active and also further add to the proposed street network, as the effective block sizes will reduce. Hence supporting NMT mobility.

All streets in areas around transit need to prioritize NMT mobility over Motor vehicles mobility. Hence the design supports the seamless movement of NMT by providing a continuous while the motor vehicles are at the lower level. This type of junction would ensure motor vehicles are moving at lower speeds and hence reducing the chances of conflicts.

## STEP 6 PLAN+DESIGN -IMPROVE PUBLIC REALM

24. 12m ROW - PROPOSED STREET SECTION



26. 18m ROW - PROPOSED STREET SECTION



#### 25. 30m ROW - PROPOSED STREET SECTION



Streets in TOZ have to be geared towards pedestrian and NMV mobility. The proportion of space alloted is to be decided based on the functional hierarchy of the street. Street guidelines should also include the threshold between private and public realm. In all Fig 24,25 and 26 the shared space is the site for multiple activities such as vending, eating, walking etc. This when combined with the Transit oriented building guidelines of active edges and open access ground to floor adds more value to shared spaces.

## STEP 6 PLAN+DESIGN -IMPROVE PUBLIC REALM

27. DESIGN AT BUILDING LEVEL



The buildings that fall in the areas around transit would not be allowed to construct compound walls and promote built to line via form-based codes or supportive building guidelines will promote an interactive design of the threshold of public - private realm. This would also ensure increase in area under public realm at the building level. Similarly, the front facade which will face the streets, would not be allowed to have dead activities such as parking. Hence promoting a more interactive public-private threshold which will help increase the foot fall.

The above image further illustrates how the front margins of properties can be utilized to create a vibrant public space, which combines with the NMT

#### Residential Appartment



#### BDD Chawls





Plot area- 0.11 Ha Ground coverage- 46% Height- G+21 FAR- 4 Road width- 18m Front setback- No Compound wall- No Active frontage- Yes, shop line



Plot area- 4.8 Ha Ground coverage- 39% Height- G+3 FAR- 1.2 Road width- 18m Front setback- No Compound wall- No Active frontage- Yes, shop line

#### pathway.

The central aim of Transit oriented buildings is to create a vibrant and attractive ground floor use. From the above image, despite the internal configuration, if the buildings are built to line and do not possess a hard edge these structures will promote and contribute to the public realm of the area.

#### Mill Redevelopment





Plot area- 4.16 Ha Ground coverage- 13% Height- G+40 FAR- 1.74 Road width- 24m Front setback- No Compound wall- No Active frontage- Yes, along main road

## STEP 7 REGULATE -DENSITY





High demand for floor space

Relatively higher land prices (ready reckoner)



HIGH DEMAND AND HIGH LAND RATES IN LOWER PAREL

Lower parel, being a case of transformation, has a strong and resilient market. The higher land prices and consumption of floor space indicates the need for an increase in build able floor space. Also, large parcels of railway land under utilized proves to be a great opportunity to facilitate the needs of the city. And as density and the resulting human intensity can be experienced in the built form and crowding on streets, this LAP will also focus on strengthening and augmenting the existing public realm so as to support the existing and expected rise in population.

This would mean to promote High Rise and High dense built form. Which can be achieved by increasing the utilization of land (permitting higher FSI) in private and public land and maximizing on the potential of side and rear margins.

### 29. TRANSFORMATION SCENARIO - PUBLIC REALM + HIGHER BUILDING VOLUMES



| Data Required                  | Intent   | Proposal  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Plot Sizes                     | To understand availability of land from plot margins for   |   |
| Building Use                   | redevelopment which contributes to area under public realm and there by crowding in public realm.      | Given the transformation<br>scenario and the  |
| Existing street network<br>Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thorough fares | availability of multiple<br>modes of transit there will<br>be an increase in the use of |
| Public Realm Activity Map      | To assess the level of crowding in the public realm and space consumed.                                | public realm hence.   |

To further ascertain an increase in population density, the LAP also suggests building government supported mixed income housing or affordable rental housing on public land.

Apart from this, as elaborated under the principle of design, the LAP also suggests a well-connected public realm i.e. connecting existing and proposed public spaces, building frontage to be utilized as privately owned public spaces and ensure access to spaces between buildings

## STEP 7 REGULATE - DIVERSITY



30. DIVERSITY IN BUILT USE



#### LAP FOR TRANSIT



32. DIVERSITY IN OPEN SPACES



Current Landuse pattern around the station area has healthy mix showing the potential of the area to develop as diversely integrated commercial and residential development. The LAP attempts to achieve diversity at micro and macro levels. At micro level, allowing diversity in built-form through regulations and at macro level or area level, by identifying incompatible uses i.e. Manufacturing units or any industry which falls into red, orange or yellow category of industries as identified by the Central pollution control board (CPCB) Areas around transit which are going to handle many commuters and other users, are spaces which will have high levels of interaction. Given the variety of uses and users that exist, This would allow for high rise, high dense commercial structures as well as redeveloped BDD Chawls on the site. Apart from the aforesaid, diversity in open spaces is in integral. Public spaces can vary from interactive streets, to public plazas or neighborhood level parks.

## STEP 8 INCENTIVIZE -AFFORDABILITY

| Data Required                 | Intent   | Proposal  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Cadastral Map                 | Identify potential sites for new AH projects                             |   |
| Building use and typology map | To identify and assess the demand for AH in the LAP Area.                | ldentifying public land<br>(railway) for mixed income<br>housing. |
| Property and land prices      | AH to be proposed and located based on market demand at the transit node | Redevelopment of BDD<br>Chawls                                    |
| Building condition            | To help assist in prioritizing redevelopment for AH                      |   |

### 33. MAP SHOWING POTENTIAL SITE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING



The availability of affordable housing in around transit would allow the users of mass transit to live around transit. But as nodes in a city vary, the methods by which it can be implemented vary. There are broadly four strategies that can be employed.

In Lower Parel with nearly 21% of the land falling within the railway lands, there is greater opportunity to develop government sponsored mixed income & mixed use housing. The potential sites are highlighted on the left.

#### 34. CHAWL REDEVELOPMENT



Simultaneously, in the case of the BDD chawls a government supported redevelopment project is preferred. This will redevelop existing BDD chawls into a residential + commercial unit. The design would be as per Transit Oriented Building guidelines while maintaining the human scale of the chawl typology. The Ground floor will promote commercial activity which opens into a central public plaza which allows for pedestrian mobility.

## STEP 9 MANAGE -PARKING

| Data Required                  | Intent  | Proposal                                    |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Existing Building Use          | To locate and understand the parking demand                                 |   |
| Existing street network<br>Map | 1 01 /  |   |
| Street Section                 | To understand road space utilization by parking                             | Proposed Demand based pricing at area level |
| Public realm activity map      | To map existing parking (priced and non-priced) and other street activities |   |
| Existing Building Height       | To estimate off street parking supply                                       |   |

#### 35. DEMAND BASED PRICING AT AREA LEVEL



The case of Lower Parel, Mumbai is an example of transformation scenario which demonstrates high market demand. Treating parking as real estate will enable the city to charge the true cost of parking. This can be done through demand based dynamic pricing while the minimum is much higher than available off-street parking charges.

## STEP 10 FINANCE -INVEST TO EARN

| Data Required        | Intent   | Proposal                                     |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Building Age Map     |  |  |
| Street Hierarchy Map | The following parameters were used to analyze the  | ollowing parameters were used to analyze the |
| Building Use Map     | redevelopment potential of the site. Each parameter was assigned a weight-age based on which the | Redevelopment Potential<br>Map               |
| Property Price Map   | redevelopment potential is created.  |  |
| Consumed FAR         |  |  |
| Cadastral Map        | To assess the variations in redevelopment potential based on ownership                           | Contextualizing<br>Redevelopment Potential   |

#### 36. REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL MAP



Potential sites for investment are largely guided by redevelopment potential of the site. The above map is based on 5 parameters, Road width (25%), Price (15%), age (15%), use (30%) and building height (15%). Within the building use, the highest potential for redevelopment is for industrial use, followed by residential, commercial and lastly institutional.

In case of Lower parel, owing to the very high redevelopment potential, market potential and high human intensity all investments are to be made in improving public realm.

PLANYOUR AREA BANGALORE, SANDAL SOAP FACTORY CASE OF INTENSIFICATION LAP CASE STUDY

## UNDERSTANDING CITY CONTEXT



Bangalore is one of the primate cities of India and the capital of Karnataka. Since the 2000's the city has attracted various industries and is the hub of the IT Sector. The city, was initially referred to as the garden city and then silicon valley of India, is growing at a rapid rate both demographically and spatially. The population has reached 11.9 million (2019) and has spread over an area of 741.9 Sq.Km. With such growth pressure, the development in city is happening at an unprecedented rate.

#### **CITY PROFILE**

AREA: 741.9 Sq Km POPULATION: 8.5 million ( Census 2011) DENSITY: 16016 People/Sq Km

### **PUBLIC TRANSIT**

Metro – 42.3Km, 40 stations Daily ridership - 4 Lakh City Bus – 6203 Buses Daily ridership - 35.8 Lakh

#### **TRANSIT BEHAVIOR**

Bus - 28% Car - 10% 2W - 23% Cycle - 5% Walk - 29% Auto/Taxi - 3%

The growing population and size of city had increased the no. Of private vehicles. This resulted in increase in pollution levels, congestions and road accidents. This called for the need of a mass transit system like metro rail in the city. City's Current Metro System started implementing from May 2011 and developed over several phases.

## STEP 1-2 DELINEATE-SITUATE

#### SITE & CONTEXT:

The Sandal Soap Factory metro station is located on the green line, 7th station from Nagasandra in the north. The station gets its name from the Sandal Soap Factory, a public sector undertaking under state government, which abuts the station. The station area was once an industrial area dominated by non-obnoxious industries. The spreading of city, pressure of development and shifting of industries to Peenya initiated redevelopment in the zone. This shift began with Brigade Gateway's construction in 2006, on a former industrial land. Also in the vicinity of the station are landmark like metro Cash and carry, Yeshwanthpur Traffic and Transit Management Centre (TTMC) and ISKON temple.

Given the presence of industrial lands and large swathes of public land, sandal soap factory, becomes a case of intensification. Where the LAP will enable the intensified usage of these land parcels to create opportunities of mixed income housing, better street network and increased area under public realm.



**Site Delineation:** A buffer of 800m from the Sandal Soap Factory station is taken into consideration for LAP



# STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT AREA LEVEL

1. CADASTRAL

2. LAND-USE



The ownership pattern in the area was studied and it revealed 24.42% of land under public ownership, about 3.15% under trust and the remaining under private. A huge percentage of land under public belongs to Mysore sandal soap factory along with TTMC, IISC and railway. These lands are not utilized to their potential and are consuming prime real estate of the city. The area has an almost equal percentage of residential (22.5%), commercial (24.9%), industrial (24.6%) and institutional (19%) uses. The area has also about 5.9% vacant land and about 2.8% of land under greens. The development pattern in the area suggests a fast shift from industrial to commercial and residential land-use.

### 3. BLOCK SIZES



4. PLOT SIZES



The block sizes have a huge impact on walkability and accessibility in the area. The area is dominated with huge blocks (especially the ones close to the metro station). This hampers the pedestrian accessibility and also movement to and/or from the metro station. The area has huge plots, wherein, at places one plot makes up an entire block. For example, the plot on which brigade gateway and sandal soap factory hampers through movement. This worsens the accessibility and pedestrian connectivity in the area.

Mean plot size in the area is as high as 1577 sq.m. and thus poses a challenge for connectivity in the station area. Adding to the challange of connectivity is the railway line that hampers east-west movement.

# STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT STREET LEVEL

5. STREET HEIRARCHY AND INTERSECTIONS

6. STREET ACTIVITIES



As mentioned earlier, the block sizes are huge in the area and hampers movement. This also renders the street network not very friendly for pedestrians. The area under roads in the station buffer amounts to only about 13%. Also, the distribution of road space is inequitable, wherein, motorized vehicles are using the majority of the ROW and side-lining the other users of the street. The streets accommodate various activities and public amenities. This includes vending spaces, parking, bus stands, public toilets and resting points. Currently these activities, without any allocated space, share street space with vehicles and pedestrians. These activities are essential to the street and activate it. These spaces add to the diversity in area, allow easy availability of daily retail, provide livelihood. Hence, these activities should be formalized and streets should be designed keeping these existing activities in consideration.

LAP FOR TRANSIT

Transit Oriented STREET

### 7. STREET DIVIDED UNEQUALLY



10. ROAD CONSUMED BY MOTOR VEHICLES



8. PARKING PEDESTRIAN CONFLICT



9.SHARED USAGE OF A LOCAL STREET



11. NO FORMAL SPACES FOR VENDING



# STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT BUILDING LEVEL

12. BUILT-USE

13. BUILT HEIGHT



The built typology in the area is dominated by industrial buildings, followed by residential typologies. There is a mix of residential typology in the area. While the older built areas have the bungalow and row-house typology, the new residential building are majorly high-rise apartments. The commercial in the area also vary from malls and office spaces like World Trade Centre to the small retail shops. The area was earlier a low rise industrial and residential area, with generally G+1 and G+2 built fabric. But, recently (since 2006), with the onset of redevelopment has high rises adding to its skyline. The new-built is generally soaring high with apartments and commercial towers generally above 15 floors.

### 14. CONSUMED FSI



### 15. GOVT REGULATED RATES



The area shows stark difference in FSI consumption patterns. Wherein, industrial and institutional plots in the area are consuming low FSI and are not using the prime land upto its potential. On the other hand, the new high-rise condominiums are consuming high FSI. The land-value regulated by government (2017-2018) varies from INR 36000 per sq. m. to INR 106600 per sq. m. The value of apartments/ flats is prescribed as cost per sq. m. on super built-up area and ranges from INR 85100 to 52000. The land prices near transit are generally high as it is in this case. This high real estate leaves the area less affordable for all sectors of society.

## STEP 4 ASSESS



### ISSUES/OPPORTUNITIES

### T.O. CITY

- Lack of affordable options in areas accessible to transit.
- No provision for pedestrians and NMT users.
- Link affordable housing schemes like PMAY with Transit Oriented Development Plans.
- Make policies such that more EWS and LIG options are created in areas near transit.
- Focus on pedestrian and NMT infrastructure as a priority in TOD areas.

### T.O.AREA

- Lack of connectivity to TTMC and Yeshwanthpur Railway Station from metro station.
- Underutilized or defunct Govt. Land
- Slum and Unorganized street vending
- High rate of redevelopment of industrial and vacant land
- Lack of affordability

- Connect the three atleast for pedestrian access.
- Redevelop Government lands while intensifying their usage to its potential.
- Organize the activities with dedicated spaces.
- Make development regulations to guide the upcoming development in the area.
- T.O.STREET
- Big blocks creating lack of pedestrian walkability
- Weak connection to metro station
- Lack of PT and NMT infrastructure

- Break down block sizes wherever possible, o else provide for public access from private properties.
- Distribute road space such that the distribuition is equitable for all street users.

### T.O.BUILDING

- New-Built Gentrification
- Rampant compound wall architecture
- Lack of eyes on street

- Mandate a percentage for EWS and LIG provision in all new construction effectively.
- Ensure active frontages and incentivize mixed land-use in the area.

## STEP 4 ASSESS

| SCALES   | Intent   | Data<br>Collected   | Scenario   |
|----------|--|---------------------|--|
|          | Ownership and redevelopment potential                      | Cadestral           | 25% land under public ownership<br>(Sandal Soap factor, TTMC, Railway)   |
|          | Fabric and redevelopment potential                         | Plot Sizes          | Average plot size 1577 SqM   |
| AREA     | Character  | Landuse             | Balanced mix of residential (22.5%).<br>Commercial (24.9%), industrial<br>(24.6%), institutional (19%) uses. 5.9%<br>vacant land and 2.8% green spaces |
|          | Walkability  | Block Sizes         | Large block sizes especially close to the metro station  |
|          | Accessibility  | Street Hierarchy    | Intersection density is less<br>owing to the large block sizes   |
| STREET   | Utilisation of ROW   | Street sections     | Poor distribution of road space  |
|          | Flow of pedestrian and onstreet space consumption          | Public realm        | Co-existence of multiple activities including vending, parking, bus stands, public toilets and resting points  |
|          | Mix of uses, Population density and use of space over time | Building use        | Area dominated by large industrial units with diverse residential typologies   |
|          | Consumed FSI   | Building height     | Post 2006 shift from G+2 structures to high rise commercial and residential towers.  |
| BUILDING | Population Density, Income and use of space over time      | Building typology   |  |
|          | Redevelopment potential                                    | Building age        |  |
|          | Relation between public and private                        | Edge condition      | Large single ownership plots make the<br>edges impermeable with high<br>compound walls   |
|          | Market demand  | Property/land price | Government rate varies from INR<br>36,000/sqm to INR 1,06,600/sqm. Land<br>prices generally higher along the transit.                                  |

## STEP 5 ENVISION

#### Issues/Opportunities **Planning Brief** Incentivize redevelopment and creation of public open spaces and affordable potential for redevelopment housing Large plots with singular ownership hampers accessibility and pedestrian Incentivize redevelopment to increase area under public realm and improve connectivity accessibility Development patterrn suggests a shift Plan for liberal mixed use zoning including horizontal and vertical mix to accommodate from industrial to commercial and the shift from industrial to residential and commercial use. residedntial landuse Compromised access to metro station Improve accessibility prioritising blocks along metro corridor Incomplete street network resulting in Physically integrate TTMC, Yeshwanthpur Railway Station and Metro Station low permeanbility for pedestrians and and increase number of intersections to facilitate movement across transit area NMT Motor vehicles consume majority of ROW and NMT is sidelined Plan for shared streets No dedicated space for these activities Plan for equitable distribution of ROW and shared streets causing conflict at multiple instances Diversity of users and uses DCRs to permit such diversity in uses and users Exisitng demand for high FSI Plan for vertial mix of uses and users. Incemtivise and plan for redevelopemnt of consumption industrial plots Redesign edges of large plots and blocks to improve walkability and provide access to Unsafe and inaccssible for pedestrians the exisitng green spaces. This will result in increased are under public realm. Increasing demand for commercial Incentivise affordable housing or mixed income housing while catering to the market and residential demand

## ACCESSIBILITY

### 16. ACCESSIBILITY MAP- EXISTING



#### 17. ACCESSIBILITY MAP-IMPROVED (PROPOSED)



The Sandal soap factory area, is dominated by large scale commercial, residential, Institutional and industrial units. Hence creating large and inaccessible block sizes and affecting walkability. Which is reflected from the accessibility map (Fig 1).

The key focus area under accessibility was to reduce the overall distance traveled and time consumed by an individual. Hence the LAPS identified the various broken links via the accessibility map. The proposed links, were either extension of existing links, constructing new links and also building newer connections between key landmarks. Like for e.g. TTMC, Metro Station and sandal soap factory.

These interventions in effect created public realm by adding more onto streets and reduced the block sizes as seen in Fig 4. The area under public realm increased to 26% from 12.96%.

| Data Required                             | Intent  | Proposal     |
|---|---|--------------|
| Plot Size Map                             | To identify new roads under redevelopment scenario  |              |
| Existing Accessibility Map                | essibility Map To identify dead ends or broken links  |              |
| Existing Building Use and<br>Land use Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thoroughfares | road network |



## ACCESSIBILITY

### 20. ACCESSIBILITY MAP- EXISTING



### 21. ACCESSIBILITY MAP- EXISTING



| Existing Building Use      | Identify major institutions, public spaces etc.   |                     |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Existing Footpath Map      | To assess NMT network completion  | Pedestrian Sky walk |
| Existing Accessibility Map | To assess the distance from each node to transit station<br>and identify the need for newer connections |                     |

### 22. CONNECTIVITY TO THE STATION AND PUBLIC REALM AROUND



Major transit structures like Traffic Transit Management Center, Yeshwanthpur Railway Station and the sandal soap metro station are not easily accessible. The Area level proposal suggest to connect all these places through pedestrian sky walk bridge to enhance the accessibility in this area. The pedestrian sky walk also connects pedestrians to near by public spaces and further adds to the network of streets created.



### 23. PUBLIC REALM DESIGN AT AREA LEVEL



| Data Required             | Intent  | Proposal                        |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Public Realm activity Map | To evaluate the utilization of street space and relation between activities                             | Public Realm Area Level -       |
| Street Hierarchy          | To understand the flow of vehicular and pedestrian movement and nature of NMT infrastructure required   | Design<br>Public Realm Building |
| Edge condition            | To understand the interface between public and private realm and its impact on the walking environment. | Level Design                    |
### 24. PUBLIC REALM DESIGN AT AREA LEVEL



To enhance the overall livability of area, the LAP proposes multiple interventions in the public realm at the area level. The redevelopment of frontage of sandal soap factory into active retail spaces, redesigning land under sandal soap factory into a public garden and reorganizing street vendor activities . This is further complimented by opening up the space between buildings, it expands the area under public realm and promotes free pedestrian and NMT movement. Such identified projects will be taken up in phase wise development.



25. PUBLIC REALM DESIGN AT BUILDING LEVEL SANDAL SOAP FACTORY - ACTIVATE PLOT EDGES







Currently, areas next to station are gated and have high compound walls which leads to lesser porosity and visual connectivity to area. In Redevelopment scenario it is suggested to incorporate more active facades through covered walkways and shop fronts, dedicated vending spaces, drop-off areas for IPTs would lead to more vibrant and active environment since it adds more eyes on streets. Opening up existing traffic islands to pedestrian mobility will greatly add to the area under the public realm and its diversity. Apart from this, it can also act as a breather space for those exiting the metro station.

#### 26. ACTIVATE BUILDING FACADE AND FRONTAGE



The LAP, looks at the changing the scenario of residential neighborhood and enables a more flexible approach to accommodate various functions such as small scale retail, medium commercial, recreational and office spaces. Aligning the built form to create continuous street edge would help to create threshold between public and private realm. Built form with setback on ground floor will create a shaded, deep covered space on ground. No compound walls would extend the walkways and merge the public and private realm.



#### 27. BUILT FORM DENSITY



Given the large portions of public and private land, the LAP supports an intensified usage of existing land parcels. This would entail intensifying the market, by catalyzing existing markets with specific site interventions. In this LAP this is proposed to be achieved by the introduction of large mixed income housing projects and redesigning of the public realm, by introducing area level public spaces and improved connectivity.

Along with the project centric approach, regulations which support higher building volumes are to be introduced. This is to be further supported by introducing a minimum cap of specific dwelling unit sizes, which will enable densification.

28. NETWORK OF PUBLIC REALM IN INTENSIFICATION SCENARIO



| Data Required                  | Intent   | Proposal                                  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Plot Sizes                     | To understand availability of land from plot margins for   | Increase in floor space                   |
| Building Use                   | redevelopment which contributes to area under public realm and there by crowding in public realm.      | Increase in area under                    |
| Existing street network<br>Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thorough fares | public realm<br>Introduction of catalytic |
| Public Realm Activity Map      | To assess the level of crowding in the public realm and space consumed.                                | projects i.e. Mixed income<br>housing     |

The increase in density and building volume also needs to be supported with a simultaneous increase in public realm. The above figure illustrates the network of public spaces that the LAP creates and hence will be supportive of increased human density. The above scenario illustrates the increase in amount to public realm in the area from 13% to 27% through interventions of various scale of public spaces and plazas. This is possible due to opening of land under Sandal Soap Factory and interventions on the defunct industrial lands along with the vast amount of railway land in the area.

### DIVERSITY

#### 29. DIVERSITY IN BUILT USE



In the station area, owing to the presence of existing of industrial, commercial and residential uses the LAP aims to promotes a flexible land use framework. This framework aims to create mixed use units which allow a commercial or institutional use for the ground floor and ground floor plus 1. Such units should also accommodate public access of the ground floor. To do this the LAP will incentivize mixed use buildings over mono-functional units, by means of additional building volume. And will also disallow or regulate industrial units based on the pollution category as stipulated by the state pollution control board. For e.g. those industries which are under red, orange and yellow category will be prohibited.

### 30. DIVERSITY IN OPEN SPACES



| Data Required                             | Intent   | Proposal  |
|---|--|---|
| Existing building typology<br>and use map | To understand the degree of mix in uses (Horizontal +<br>Vertical) and users     |   |
| Public realm activity map                 | To understand the different type of activities on street                         | Providing for mixed use<br>development              |
| Street Section                            | To understand the distribution of ROW  | Hierarchy of open spaces<br>within the public realm |
| Public infrastructure and amenities       | To ascertain the availability of public and private infrastructure and amenities |   |

Identifying the need for different degrees of public spaces, the LAP proposes area level public gardens, block level landscape plaza and public plazas. In doing so providing sufficient space and opportunity for multiple activities to take place. These public spaces along with pedestrian friendly streets and buildings with publicly accessible ground floor spaces adds considerably to public realm and its quality.

## AFFORDABILITY

| Data Required Intent          |  | Proposal             |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Cadastral Map                 | Identify potential sites for new AH projects                             |                      |
| Building use and typology map | To identify and assess the demand for AH in the LAP Area.                |                      |
| Property and land prices      | AH to be proposed and located based on market demand at the transit node | Mixed income housing |
| Building condition            | To help assist in prioritizing redevelopment for AH                      |                      |

### 31. MIXED INCOME HOUSING



In Yeshwanthpur, LAP proposes a mixed income housing complex in defunct industrial land and railway lands which is located in walkable distance from metro station. The housing unit will also support commercial and other amenities on the ground floors.

### PARKING

| Data Required                  | Intent  | Proposal                                    |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Existing Building Use          | To locate and understand the parking demand                                 |   |
| Existing street network<br>Map | To locate parking provision and identify zones for paid parking             |   |
| Street Section                 | To understand road space utilization by parking                             | Proposed Demand based pricing at area level |
| Public realm activity map      | To map existing parking (priced and non-priced) and other street activities |   |
| Existing Building Height       | To estimate off street parking supply                                       |   |

### 32. DIFFERENTIAL PRICING AT AREA LEVEL



A phased out pricing strategy which begins with the transit node will help put in place a pricing regime.

Given Bangalore's heavy traffic restricting vehicle use on certain pathways closer to the transit node would further discourage parking, this step is to be implemented after the pricing mechanism is in place.

## **INVEST TO EARN**

| Data Required        | Intent   | Proposal                                   |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Building Age Map     |  |  |
| Street Hierarchy Map | The parameters were used to analyze the redevelopment  |  |
| Building Use Map     | potential of the site. Each parameter was assigned a weight-age based on which the redevelopment potential | Redevelopment Potential<br>Map             |
| Property Price Map   | is created.  |  |
| Consumed FAR         |  |  |
| Cadastral Map        | To assess the variations in redevelopment potential based on ownership                                     | Contextualizing<br>Redevelopment Potential |

#### 33. REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL MAP



While comparing the existing building use map Fig 12 and Fig 33, it is quite evident that a large number of industrial units are likely to under redevelopment. Given the case, the LAP could focus on redeveloping industrial plots first as part of phase 1.

# PLAN YOUR AREA JAIPUR, CIVIL LINES CASE OF INFILL LAP CASE STUDY

### UNDERSTANDING CITY CONTEXT



Jaipur is surrounded by Nahargarh hills in north and Jhalana in the east which are part of Aravalli hills. It is one of the earliest planned cities of India, planned on the principles of vastu and modelled as 9 squares under the architectural guidance of Vidyadhar Bhattacharya. It was founded on 18 November 1726 by Maharaja Jai Singh II, the ruler of Amber, after whom the city is named. Jaipur is also part of the golden triangle of tourism.

### **CITY PROFILE**

AREA: 484.64 sq.km POPULATION: 3.1 million (Census 2011) DENSITY: 6404 people/sq.km

### **PUBLIC TRANSIT**

Metro – 9.7 km (operational) + 25.4 Km (proposed)

### TRANSIT BEHAVIOR

Public transit - 19% Rickshaw - 6% Taxi - 4% Car - 8% 2W - 31% Walk & cycle - 32 %

### STEP 1-2 DELINEATE-LOCATE

The Civil lines in Jaipur, like many others in the country, was originally a residential area developed for senior civilian officers during the British raj and continued to remain as one. With the introduction of a new ring road in the master plan of 2011 and subsequently the metro phase 1 in 2014, the area began to slowly transform, with medium rise commercial structures. Although, predominantly still a residential area, there is a slow infusion of commercial along the main transit corridor.





### STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT AREA LEVEL 1. CADASTRAL 2. LAND-USE



The area has about 20% of land under public ownership. The major percentage of this public land is dedicated to government quarters and bungalows for civil servants. The land, in general, is underutilized and can be seen as a potential of catalytic development in area. The area is dominated by residential use that forms 70% of land-use. Commercial (13%), institutional (7%) and mixed uses can be observed along the major roads.

### 3. BLOCK SIZES





The block sizes have a huge impact on walkability and accessibility in the area. The area has big blocks close to the metro station. This hampers the pedestrian accessibility and also movement to and/or from the metro station. In general, the block sizes are walkable. The plot sizes are very small in the area, with major proportion of plots below 250 SqM. This is a perceived deterrent to redevelopment in the area. The bigger plot sizes in the area are under public ownership.

### STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT STREET LEVEL 5. STREET HEIRARCHY AND INTERSECTIONS 6. STREET ACTIVITIES





The area under roads in the station buffer amounts to only about 18.36%. Also, the distribution of road space is inequitable, wherein, motorized vehicles are using the majority of the ROW and side-lining the other users of the street. The streets also accommodate various activities and public amenities. Currently these activities essential to street, without any allocated and formalized space, share street space with vehicles and pedestrians. These spaces add to the diversity in area, allow easy availability of daily retail items in vicinity, provide livelihood and on top of all this make the street secure with constant eyes on the street. View showing transport running at three levels



Places of worship generating activity in area



Vendors taking up road and footpath space



Mixed use character with small shops



Food stalls near bus stops and junctions



Unregularised movement of vehicles at junction



### STEP 3 DATA COLLECTION AT BUILDING LEVEL 7. BUILT-USE 8. BUILT HEIGHT



Individual bungalows and row houses dominate the built in the area. The north of metro line has compact low-rise built that abuts narrow streets. The south side of metro has bungalows of middle and high-income group. The built form in the area is low-rise, with maximum buildings having G+1 and G+2. While, a few mid-rise buildings are now being observed , commercial and residential apartments are now being constructed along the arterial road.

### 9. CONSUMED FSI



### 10. GOVT REGULATED RATES



FSI consumption is generally low in the area. With the government bungalows and quarters poorly utilizing the land adding to the situation. There are also a few individual bungalows that have constructed more than allowed height and FSI in the area.

The government regulated prices in Jaipur are called as District Legislative Committee (DLC) rates. The high variation in prices can be seen from the map. While the highest cost is where the public bungalows are there. The cheapest land is the interior area on the north side of the metro line.

### STEP 4 ASSESS



### ISSUES/OPPORTUNITIES

### T.O. CITY

- The city is growing horizontally and sprawling out, instead of growing vertically.
- Redevelopment is low with no incentives in place for the same. Also, small plot sizes, multiple owners and clear land titles act as a deterrent.
- Guide Development to the TOD Area at a City
  Level
- Enable and incentivize redevelopment in zones close to transit in order to use the land to its potential

### T.O.AREA

- Low Ridership.
- Development near transit is business as usual, no influence of metro on development
- Lack-luster market
- Underutilized and vacant govt. lands in station vicinity
- Activate Station Area
- Utilize Underused and Vacant Govt. Lands
- Incentivize and allow for more development with higer FSI and amalgamation policies in place

### T.O.STREET

- Incomplete street network
- Narrow streets (5-6m wide) leading to plots
- Lack of PT and NMT infrastructure

- Enhance Street Connectivity for Better
  Accessibility in the Area
- Enhance PT and NMT Infrastructure

### T.O.BUILDING

- Small Plot sizes
- 30-40 year old built fabric
- Low-rise high density fabric- the quality of spaces like greens, parking etc. is compromised
- Enable Redevelopment
- Regulate Development keeping the TOD Principles in mind

### STEP 4 ASSESS

| SCALES   | Intent   | Data<br>Collected   | Scenario   |
|----------|--|---------------------|--|
|          | Ownership and redevelopment potential                            | Cadestral           | 20% land under public ownership  |
|          | Fabric and redevelopment potential                               | Plot Sizes          | Small plot sizes, less than 250sqm   |
| AREA     | Character  | Landuse             | Majority residential (70%), with<br>commercial (13%) and institutional<br>(7%) uses. Mixed uses are observed<br>along primary roads. |
|          | Walkability  | Block Sizes         | Generally, walkable block sizes. But,<br>blocks close to the metro station are<br>relatively larger                                  |
|          | Accessibility  | Street Hierarchy    | Broken links and dead ends,<br>hence walkability is hampered   |
| STREET   | Utilisation of ROW   | Street sections     | Poor distribution of road space  |
|          | Flow of pedestrian and onstreet space consumption                | Public realm        | Co-existence of multiple activities<br>including vending, parking, religious<br>activities and public toilets                        |
|          | Mix of uses, Population<br>density and use of space<br>over time | Building use        | Primarily residential use and vertical mixed use nnot explored   |
|          | Consumed FSI   | Building height     | Majority G+1 and G+2   |
| BUILDING | Population Density, Income and use of space over time            | Building typology   | Individual bungalows and row houses are the dominant building typology   |
|          | Redevelopment potential  | Building age        |  |
|          | Relation between public and private                              | Edge condition      |  |
|          | Market demand  | Property/land price | Govt. owned plots with bungalows have<br>high value. Property value along metro<br>has not witnessed and increase                    |

### STEP 5 ENVISION

| Issues/Opportunities  | Planning Brief   |
|---|--|
| Public land, which are the only large plots, are underutilised  | Enable and incentivise redevelopment in TOZ to utilise its potential                                       |
| Small plots, a deterrent to redevelopment   | Incentivize redevelopment through amalgamation, with higher FSI  |
| City sprawling horizontally while vertical expansion remains unexplored.  | Incentivise vertical mix of uses   |
| Compromised access to metro station.<br>Despite small, walkable block sizes, the<br>circulation pattern is disorganised | Improve accessibility prioritising blocks along metro corridor. Regularise the street network.             |
| Incomplete street network resulting in low permeanbility for pedestrians and NMT  | Organize and complete street network   |
| Motor vehicles consume majority of ROW and NMT is sidelined   | Plan for shared streets  |
| No dedicated space for these activities<br>causing conflict and congestion at<br>multiple instances                     | Plan for equitable distribution of ROW and shared streets. Design continuous footpaths and manage parking. |
| Lack lustre market but redevelopment can be incentivised  | DCRs to incentivise such diversity in uses and users through redevelopment regulations                     |
| Lack lustre market but redevelopment can be incentivised  | DCRs to incentivise such diversity in uses and users through redevelopment regulations                     |
| Arterial raods shows very few instances of redevelopment (commercial and residential)                                   | Prioritise redevelopment along artertial roads to activate station area and the market                     |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| Underutilised potential   | Incetivise redevelpment with higher FSI and vertical mix   |

### ACCESSIBILITY

#### 11. EXISTING BLOCK SIZES



#### 12. PROPOSED BLOCK SIZES



The civil lines station is largely residential (70%) with very small block sizes and plot sizes. Yet, from the above map it is quite clear that the street networks are incomplete. Hence despite smaller block sizes it is not walkable owing. Also owing to the smaller plot sizes, the chances of redevelopment is fairly less.

Consequently the proposed street network looks to improve the complete the street network and through the process of amalgamation introduce larger plot sizes and even block sizes. In this case the area under roads have increased from 18% to 30% and while the average block perimeter is 350 meter.

| Data Required Intent                      |   | Proposal  |
|---|---|---|
| Plot Size Map                             | To identify new roads under redevelopment scenario  |   |
| Existing Building Use and<br>Land use Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thoroughfares   |   |
| Existing Street network map               | To understand the flow of vehicular traffic   | Proposed Block Sizes and<br>improved street network |
| Existing Accessibility Map                | To assess the distance from each node to transit station<br>and identify the need for newer connections |   |







The improved road network, has greatly increased the accessibility level of the site. By completing and making necessary connections the number of dead ends where reduced. Thus increasing and introducing wider connections. The same is clearly reflected in the Accessibility map - improved, where the spread of green, yellow and orange nodes have spread.



#### 15. DESIGN AT AREA LEVEL - METRO CONNECTIVITY



In civil lines the aim is to design a network of public realm. The above image, details out a redevelopment scenario of on government land, which is the most probable case of redevelopment given the lack luster market. The idea here is to build continuous NMT pathways which connect the relocated government quarters, Affordable and mixed income housing complex , proposed commercial complex with the proposed public plaza and green spaces. This would greatly augment the existing 4% area under green public spaces.

This network can then be expanded to the other parts of the site as and when plots amalgamate and redevelopment on site begins.

The idea here is to also acquire public realm from plot margins or land when plots undergo redevelopment. This would also encourage the idea that a better designed public realm will create value.

| Data Required                  | Intent  | Proposal                            |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Public Realm activity Map      | To evaluate the utilization of street space and relation between activities                             | Public Realm Area Level -<br>Design |
| Existing Street Network<br>Map | To understand the flow of vehicular and pedestrian movement and nature of NMT infrastructure required   | Public Realm Street Level<br>Design |
| Street Section                 | To understand the distribution of the ROW   | Public Realm Building               |
| Edge condition Map             | To understand the interface between public and private realm and its impact on the walking environment. | Level Design                        |

### 16. DESIGN AT STREET LEVEL - COMMERCIAL COMPLEX AND VENDING PLAZA



By connecting the metro station with a commercial complex and vending plaza, the design promotes a vibrant public space that supports pedestrian access and activity.



### 17. DESIGN AT STREET LEVEL



The principles of public realm design is geared towards enhancing the quality of public realm. Hence, the LAP promotes continuous and wide pedestrian pathways. The same can be achieved only by combining the privately owned public spaces (area within plot margins) along with the publicly owned spaces.

#### 18. DESIGN AT BUILDING LEVEL



Buildings are integral to life on streets. The LAP, looks at creating highly porous and built to line structures. The benefit is an interactive threshold between public and private realm.

Simultaneously, by introducing structures like the podium tower typology with appropriate setbacks a new type of public realm is created. Thus allowing for pedestrian and street activities to expand into buildings. This would further strengthen connection between public and private. Further, by promoting the vernacular arcade feature of Jaipur as part of the new built form the LAP looks to create spaces which are climate sensitive.



19. REDEVELOPMENT SCENARIO - EVOLVING URBAN PUBLIC REALM AND BUILT FORM



| Plot Size<br>(SqM) | FSI | POPOS | SA  | EWS |
|--------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| >500               | 2   | NA    | NA  | NA  |
| 500-2000           | 3   | 10%   |     |     |
| 2000-<br>10000     | 3-4 | 10%   | 10% |     |
| <10000             | 3-4 | 10%   | 10% | 10% |

The civil lines is a case of infill, where a lack luster market needs to be incentivized. The strategy proposed is to promote plot amalgamation as the site has predominantly smaller plot sizes. The incentives are based on the final plot size that is an outcome of the amalgamation. Hence bigger the plots higher the FSI. Apart from this the larger plot sizes are to also reserve area to create privately owned publicly open spaces, social amenities and EWS housing. The area to be reserved is decided upon the size



of the final plot.

The above table and images illustrate a scenario of transformation. As different plot sizes amalgamate, the public realm can be strengthened with the addition of streets and public spaces which will in turn support the new built.

| Data Required                  | Intent   | Proposal  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Plot Sizes                     | To understand availability of land from plot margins for   |   |
| Building Use                   | redevelopment which contributes to area under public realm and there by crowding in public realm.      | Given the infill scenario<br>, the plan promotes                      |
| Existing street network<br>Map | To understand pedestrian flows(origin and destination)<br>and possibility of pedestrian thorough fares | redevelopment via plot<br>amalgamation and a<br>supporting network of |
| Public Realm Activity Map      | To assess the level of crowding in the public realm and space consumed.                                | public realm.   |

### 20. HIGH CROWDING OF PEOPLE AND LOW CROWDING OF CARS



In areas around transit the core idea is high density, low crowding of vehicles and high crowding of people. Based on the availability and quality of public realm the crowding factor in sites would vary.

### DIVERSITY

### 21. DIVERSITY IN BUILT USE



| Data Required                             | Intent   | Proposal                              |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Existing building typology<br>and use map | To understand the degree of mix in uses (Horizontal +<br>Vertical) and users     |                                       |
| Public realm activity map                 | To understand the different type of activities on street                         | Facilitating mixed use<br>development |
| Street Section                            | Street Section To understand the distribution of ROW                             |                                       |
| Public infrastructure and amenities       | To ascertain the availability of public and private infrastructure and amenities | within the public realm               |

#### 22. DIVERSITY IN OPEN SPACES



In civil lines, the LAP promotes the use of flexible land use. This is to promote the rise in residential mixed use, where the ground floor is occupied by commercial establishments. This is keeping in mind that the site is predominantly residential use. Via the redevelopment model, as illustrated under density, the site has opportunity to create a diverse set of public spaces.

## AFFORDABILITY

| Data Required                 | Intent   | Proposal   |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Cadastral Map                 | Identify potential sites for new mixed income or ah projects                               | Identifying public land<br>(railway) for mixed income<br>housing and subsequent<br>construction. |
| Building use and typology map | To identify and assess the demand for Mixed income housing in the LAP Area.                |  |
| Property and land prices      | Mixed income housing to be proposed and located based on market demand at the transit node |  |

#### 23. IDENTIFICATION OF SITE



The availability of affordable housing around transit would allow the users of mass transit to live around transit and hence increase transit ridership and provides a cheap and accessible mode of travel. But as nodes in a city vary, the methods by which it can be implemented vary.

The LAP in civil lines promotes the construction of mixeduse housing via the redevelopment model illustrated in density. The availability of government land in the area is an opportunity to create housing options for the users of mass transit.
#### 24. MIXED INCOME HOUSING



Apart from providing housing options, via the redevelopment model, the project can also create area level or city level public spaces. These spaces are accessible to all and can cater to multiple needs of the commuters and residents of the site.

The LAP also identifies the need to introduce good pedestrian connectivity to the transit node, hence as stated under the design principle a continuous public realm will further enhance the livability of the area.

The project can also act as a catalyst to help augment the market value of the area.

# PARKING

| Data Required                  | Intent  | Proposal                                       |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Existing Building Use          | To locate and understand the parking demand                                 |  |
| Existing street network<br>Map | To locate parking provision and identify zones for paid parking             |  |
| Street Section                 | To understand road space utilization by parking                             | Proposed Demand based<br>pricing at area level |
| Public realm activity map      | To map existing parking (priced and non-priced) and other street activities |  |
| Existing Building Height       | To estimate off street parking supply                                       |  |

#### 25. ON-STREET PARKING STRATEGY



The case of Civil Lines, Jaipur is an example of infill scenario which demonstrates a lack-luster market. Begin to charge on street parking around transit node and arterial roads in a phased out manner. Eventually extend to secondary roads with regulated parking (odd-even strategy)

# **INVEST TO EARN**

| Data Required        | Intent  | Proposal                                   |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Building Age Map     | The parameters were used to analyze the redevelopment<br>potential of the site. Each parameter was assigned a<br>weight-age based on which the redevelopment potential<br>is created. | Redevelopment Potential<br>Map             |
| Street Hierarchy Map |   |  |
| Building Use Map     |   |  |
| Property Price Map   |   |  |
| Consumed FAR         |   |  |
| Cadastral Map        | To assess the variations in redevelopment potential based on ownership  | Contextualizing<br>Redevelopment Potential |

#### 26. REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL



As per the map generated it is observed that, majority of the site has high redevelopment potential. Yet, considering the availability of government land, the slow infusion of commercial development and structures with highest redevelopment potential along the transit corridor it would be safe to speculate that area adjacent to the corridor would be the first to redevelop. Based on the this the LAP proposes a 2 stage phasing plan, beginning with the area marked under red as phase 1 of the LAP.

Phase 1 would also be the focus of public investments to help catalyze the market, so that private investor have a strong incentive.

# GOVERNANCE & FINANCE

## Governance framework LAND + TRANSII



- Institute an umbrella agency for coordination and planning for land use and transport integration with a dedicated budget and regular stream of revenue.
- Land+Transit umbrella agency can be constituted as a 'Regulatory Authority' that is accountable and has the power to implement the LAPs around Transit.
- Empower ULBs by including elected representatives on the board of transit agencies.
- Introduce common mobility card.
- As a fundamental principle, follow 'value creation (social and physical infrastructure, housing) before value capture' and operationalize by investing in public realm to earn in private realm
- In areas around transit encourage area level governance to promote accountability and ensure efficient implementation. Example: Brigade Road model



# HOW TO INSTITUTIONALIZE LAP AND TOD - ENTRY POINTS

**Institutional arrangements:** Define list of stakeholders involved in governance and management of LAPs around transit – public, private, civil society, banks, auditors, elected representatives, international finance institutions, contractors, retailers, commuters, residents etc. For each define roles and responsibilities in enabling effective management of LAPs and TOZs. Delineate communication protocols for effective operations and maintenance of the TOZ. Also, under institutional arrangements, define an exhaustive list of laws, rules, regulations that need to be considered and developed for planning and management.

Data management and governance: data creation, data management, data sharing.

**Capacity building** for the public authority, for data creation, data management, data sharing, planning, operations and maintenance, coordination and management of multiple stakeholders

**Creation of a common technology led 'system**' such as a **Geo portal**, for ease of visibility and management of TOZs, involving multiple stakeholders. Data access frameworks need to be developed for this purpose in order to ensure stakeholders are able to view and edit data pertinent to their role and responsibility in the broader governance mechanism.

**Create a Road map**, covering activities and targets within all the above, spanning three horizon periods: 1-2 years, 2-5 years and 5 years and above

**Develop a Monitoring and Evaluation framework**, with input, output indicators and desirable outcomes. Accompany this with a results framework, and Key Performance Indicators to incentivise performance on part of all parties involved.

Develop a framework of types of financial arrangements possible and corresponding contracts - Procurement guidelines, contracts for government owned assets and outsourcing, model service level agreements between public and private service providers, PPPs and other variants of partnership arrangements involving stewardship from all parties involved.

LAP for transit can be scaled up and mainstreamed to all other parts of the city, addressing local needs for amenities and basic services – for example, LAPs in residential areas, LAPs in business districts, LAPs around public open spaces; LAPs around affordable housing and so on. Describe the full LAP preparation process as it should be/ is mandated legally.

# **GOVERNANCE MODELS**

Several governance models may be explored, based on context.

Complete public ownership, involving public investment for capital and recurring expenditure, for development, operation and maintenance of transit and precinct. Revenues may be generated through crowd sourcing or selectively letting out spaces through rental agreements.

Public authorities make the entire investment, to develop transit, while outsourcing the operations and maintenance of the infrastructure and other commercial areas such as retail, parking etc on demand. Pricing strategies and revenue share arrangements in this case, may be annually determined through performance oriented renewable contracts.

Public private partnerships, may be evaluated through feasibility studies and viability determined by all parties involved in partnership arrangements – public, private and banking institutions. Accompanied by due diligence, this would necessarily need to include risk analysis of fiduciary risks, estimation of revenue returns over a long term. Include in contractual agreements, clear mandates on authority agency responsible for determination of revenue share arrangements and pricing strategy and any re-negotiation on these.

In all cases, make contractual provisions for transparent process of re-negotiation, when confronted with financial risks.

# ANNEXURE

Adapted from the urban design framework, Guidelines for Railway Station Development (2019) by Indian Railway Station Development Corporation (IRSDC).

#### for the LAP consultants

#### **Stage 1:** Mapping of Existing Situation Physical infrastructure and Land Use

 Street Hierarchy and Road
 (local and regional streets

 Transportation Systems and
 Metro Corridors and Stations, BRT corridors and

 Other bus network and stops
 Metro Corridors and Stations, BRT corridors and

**Physical Infrastructure:** Mapping of all over ground and underground Utilities including water supply, electrical, telecom, storm water systems, sewage lines, manholes, solid waste management systems,

#### **Environmental Features**

underground systems, where

, forests, natural wetlands, waterways, natural greenways, etc. **Topographic Analysis** would be required to determine drainage patterns as well as key landscape features such as hills, mounds, valleys etc that can guide the LAP spatial plan at later stages (with respect to area morphology

Social Infrastructure showing existing social infrastructure availab

combined Base Map

Monitoring Committee.

On base map, superimpose up /redevelopment sites. other approved land use commitments by any government

and develop cadastral maps heritage buildings, sites and landscapes to be protected

#### Map Special Area boundaries (if any given in the master/development plans)

boundaries of urbanized villages

#### or informal settlements

Urban Character andAreas with same land use may have drasticallydifferent urban typologies in terms of built form, street character, spatial usagecommunity character.ocal character areas, neighbourhood typologies, street characterthrough photographs and urban design maps, street sections,

with above Commitments and Character/ Typology Maps before their publication.

as per ground situation

anv development/master plan census or municipal wards, if the LAP area does not coincide with the municipal or census wards, an appropriate method of population estimation should be devised. or informal settlements population municipal corporation or other responsible government agencies e projected population increase (%) for the and what would be its implications on urban infrastructure and services Approximate Income profiling map through site study/ observation/ communities or leaders Number of establishments in the area and estimated number of jobs uses/precincts in the area or any major job centres **<u>Real estate and land market</u>** Land and Real Estate prices in the area Changing real estate v trend within the LAP area (e.g. impact of Metro, etc.) The data collected in Stage 1(C) is to be discussed and agreed by the Monitoring Committee and used for the analysis in subsequent stages.

#### Stage 2: Proposed Policy & Spatial Design Inputs

#### Deficiency Assessment using GIS data:

#### Social and Physical Infrastructure Needs

Assessment of availability

Social and Physical Infrastructure

Local Area Population

rovision and spatial allocation of missing social and physical infrastructure deficiencies

based on data documented in , new social infrastructure must be

provided based on local age

required to provide a desirable mixed use mixed income neighbourhood

INCLUDING Social, cultural, heritage and Economic Potential of a

**Incorporate current land use proposals**, permissible uses matrix and Development Control Regulations d explain/ express their impact.

#### Stage 3: \_\_\_\_\_ consultations with local residents and stakeholders through interactive

#### Public Outreach and Awareness of LAP & Need Analysis through Public Engagement:

Publicity of LAP process within LAP area: Utilize regular media sources (newspaper,

posters, internet where feasible) and physical presence in local(have a stall or storefront) toprovide awareness on LAP process that explains inthe objectives of theprocess ; how will it impact the community and how people can provide inputs (either by participatingin surveys/workshops or sending their local representatives to attend public meeting

#### cal stakeholders and decide methodology to ensure equal representation of all

Participation process by consultant based upon local demographics and context. guidelines on the issue.

Solicit Inputs on Need Basic Need Analysis prepared by Consultants would be displayed to public in simple terms/ maps to solicit feedback. The inputs can be obtained by survey, suggestion depending upon which approach would work best in gaining a

comprehensive sampled input from all groups impacted by the Area Plan.

**Establish "Area Plan Committee":** To ensure people's participation, Ward Committees must be consulted. Preferably, wide ranging public consultations should be orga

for each Ward with specific date and time. Area

BPL representatives, office bearers, prominent local NGOs, market associations, traders' associations, etc. should be invited to be part of the "Area Plan Committee" and participate in consultations on the Local Area Plans. Stakeholders identified in (2) must be made part of the Area Plan Committee.

#### based upon public survey/public inputs.

to be presented through

#### limited to the following

pace allocation and arking facilities for different modes including cyclists, rickshaws NMVs/ pedestrians, etc.

#### **Transit Oriented Development Strategy**

proposed including proposed improvements for bett connections to MRTS hubs and local shopping areas, deficiencies in public transport provisions

desirable/undesirable landuses, desirable mix of housing types and rental/tenure strategy for influence zones of local public transport hubs.

**Density and Built Form Strategy** dimensional studies and urban design typologies to convey desired character and mix of uses within area

permissible uses within each redevelopment/redensification area is to be highlighted. Issues such as setbacks, boundary walls, bui ing heights and vertical mixing of uses are to be incorporated as part of a proposed Development Controls Strategy, to be submitted to the authorities for the

#### Physical Infrastructure trategy, particularly for solid and waste management,

consideration of decentralized systems

, sports facilities, multiuse

working landscapes, etc. as well as their safety

sustainable urban drainage

creation of wetlands and utilization/revitalization

**Social Infrastructure Provisions and Strategy** (schools, parks, sports facilities, dispensaries, community centres, cultural centres, public amenities, night shelters, etc.) including proposals for upgradation of existing facilities and provision of new deficient facilities. Accessibility, safety d usability of all facilities must be highlighted. Appropriate

proposed for dysfunctional buildings/ premises. Multi use open spaces and buildings to be considered as part of proposed design strategy.

including provision of livelihood generating areas for informal sector vending zones, weekly markets, etc. level deficit of affordable housing supply to be and required lands earmarked.

Heritage Preservation and protection lines prive reuse strategies, as applicable.

**Revenue generation strategy** based on the basic cost, how is the plan intendts to recover the costs and generate revenue (through land based financing instruments or premium FSI) needs to be estimated as per the mark

preliminary proposalsMonitoring Committee forEconomic Feasibility, implementation and maintenance costs, revenue generation, utilities and servicesimpacts. Evaluation ofto make sure the selected plan is economically feasibleand does not cause the preferred plan to be changed after it has been approved by the area committeeand the general public.

### Present preferred plan to community through loca stall/storefront for final comment period uggested modifications/ updates

#### Building Byelaws to implement the Final LAP

Byelaws document restructured into three distinct sections under "Procedur "Performance Byelaws" and "Planning Byelaws", which need to be area

#### Stage 4: Finalization and submission of the

to the competent authority for scrutiny and approval. Monitoring Committee evaluate the LAPs for technical and financial feasibility before they are submitted for the approval.

